arXiv:1609.08763v1 [math.MG] 28 Sep 2016

EXTENSION PROPERTIES OF PLANAR UNIFORM DOMAINS

VYRON VELLIS

Abstract. The classical bi-Lipschitz and quasisymmetric Schönflies theorems in the plane [Tuk80, BA56] are generalized in this paper for all planar uniform domains. Specifically, we show that if $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a uniform domain then it has the following two extension properties: (1) every bi-Lipschitz map $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that can be extended homeomorphically on U can also be extended bi-Lipschitz on U and (2) if ∂U is relatively connected then every quasisymmetric map $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that can be extended homeomorphically on U can also be extended quasisymmetrically on U. In higher dimensions, we show that if U is the exterior of a uniformly disconnected set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then every biLipschitz embedding $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ extends to a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The same is also true for quasisymmetric embeddings under the additional assumption that ∂U is relatively connected.

1. Introduction

Let X be a metric space, $E \subset X$ and $f : E \to X$ be a map in a class $\mathscr{F}$. When can f be extended to a mapping $F : X \to X$ in the same class? We are interested in the above extension question for the classes of bi-Lipschitz maps and quasisymmetric maps. Questions related to quasisymmetric extensions have been considered by Beurling and Ahlfors [BA56], Ahlfors [Ahl63, Ahl64], Carleson [Car74], Tukia and Väisälä [TV82, TV81, TV84], Väisälä [Väi86], Kovalev and Onninen [KO11] and Fujino [Fuj16]. Results related to bi-Lipschitz extension appear in the work of Tukia [Tuk80, Tuk81], David and Semmes [DS91], MacManus [Mac95] and Alestalo and Väisälä [AV97].

Tukia and Väisälä [TV84] showed that for $M = \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{S}^p$ and $n > p$, any quasisymmetric mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, extends to a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ when f is locally close to being a similarity and every bi-Lipschitz mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ extends to a bi-Lipschitz mapping of $\mathbb{R}^n$ when f is close to being an isometry. Later, Väisälä [Väi86] extended these results to all compact, C^1 or piecewise linear $(n-1)$-manifolds M in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Similar results appeared recently in the work of Azzam, Badger and Toro [ABT15]. The requirements on the embedding f in these three papers, ensured the homeomorphic extension of f on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this article we work in a different direction; assuming that there is a homeomorphic extension, when can we extend the mapping in question to a quasisymmetric or bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism? Given a metric space X we say that $E \subset X$ has the *quasisymmetric extension property* (resp. *bi-Lipschitz extension property*) in X or *QSEP* in short (resp. *BLEP*) if every quasisymmetric (resp. bi-Lipschitz)

Date: September 29, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C65; Secondary 30L05.

Key words and phrases. quasisymmetric, bi-Lipschitz, extension, relatively connected, uniform domain, uniformly disconnected.

The author was supported by the Academy of Finland project 257482.

embedding $f : E \to X$ that can be extended as a homeomorphism of X can also be extended as a quasisymmetric (resp. bi-Lipschitz) homeomorphism of X.

When $X = \mathbb{R}$ or $X = \mathbb{S}^1$, trivially every subset of X has the BLEP in X but the same is not true in the quasisymmetric class. Indeed, if $E = \{0\} \cup \{e^{-n!}\}_{n\geq 2}$ then $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) = (-\log x)^{-1}$ is monotone and quasisymmetric but can not be extended quasisymmetrically in any open set containing the point 0 [Hei01, p. 89]. Thus, more regularity for sets E should be assumed. Trotsenko and Väisälä [TV99] introduced the notion of *relative connectedness*, a weak version of uniform perfectness, and as a corollary of their main theorem, *if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is not relatively connected, then there exists a quasisymmetric embedding $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that can be extended homeomorphically on $\mathbb{R}^n$ but not quasisymmetrically*; see Section 8.1. Conversely, we showed in [Vel16] that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is relatively connected then it has the QSEP in $\mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, for each $n \geq 2$ there exists a relatively connected, compact and countable set $E_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a bi-Lipschitz embedding $f : E_n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that admits a homeomorphic extension on $\mathbb{R}^n$ but not a quasisymmetric extension [Vel16, Theorem 5.1]. These examples show that in dimensions $n \geq 2$ relative connectedness does not suffice for either the QSEP or the BLEP and the geometry of the complement of E comes into play. It follows from the celebrated work of Ahlfors [Ahl63], Beurling and Ahlfors [BA56] and Tukia [Tuk80] that $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1$ have both extension properties in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this paper we extend their results to boundaries of planar *uniform domains*, a broad family of domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose local geometry resembles that of the disk and of the upper half-plane.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a c-uniform domain and $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an embedding that can be extended homeomorphically on U.*

(1) If f is L-bi-Lipschitz then f extends L'-bi-Lipschitz on U with $L' > 1$ depending only on L and c.
(2) If ∂U is C-relatively connected and f is η-quasisymmetric then f extends η'-quasisymmetrically on U with η' depending only on η, c and C.

The second part of Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as the converse of the following boundary quasiconformal extension result of Väisälä [Väi85]: if $U, U' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are uniform domains and $f : U \to U'$ is a quasiconformal homeomorphism that extends homeomorphically on ∂U then f extends quasisymmetrically on ∂U; see Lemma 2.1.

Roughly speaking, uniformity is a combination of two other notions: a domain is uniform if every pair of points can be joined by a curve whose length is comparable to the distance of the points (*quasiconvexity*) and it does not go too close to the boundary of the domain (*John property*); see Section 2.5 for precise definition. The assumption of uniformity of U is somewhat necessary for both extensions as neither quasiconvexity nor John property alone are sufficient; see Section 8.

In $\mathbb{R}^3$, Theorem 1.1 fails in both cases as there exists a bi-Lipschitz embedding $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that can be extended homeomorphically on $\mathbb{R}^3$ but not quasisymmetrically [Tuk80, Section 15].

As a corollary, we obtain a sufficient condition for sets E to satisfy the QSEP and the BLEP in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The arguments apply verbatim in the case that X is the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $E \subset \mathbb{S}^2$.

Corollary 1.2. *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is such that each component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{E}$ is c-uniform then E has the BLEP in $\mathbb{R}^2$. If moreover E is c-relatively connected then it has the QSEP in $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

The tameness of Cantor sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ implies that in Theorem 1.1 the assumption of homeomorphic extension of f on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ can be dropped when E is totally disconnected. However, in higher dimensions, due to the existence of wild Cantor sets [Dav07], an increase in dimension is needed. Moreover, in the plane, the complement of a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior is uniform if and only if E is *uniformly disconnected* [Mac99] but that is not true in $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $n \geq 3$. Uniform disconnectedness is in a sense the opposite of uniform perfectness: for each point x there exists an "isolated island" $E' \subset E$ of practically any diameter whose distance from the rest of E is at least a fixed multiple of its diameter. Thus, in higher dimensions uniform disconnectedness of E can be used as a natural analogue of uniformity of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be c-uniformly disconnected and $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$.*

1. *If f is L-bi-Lipschitz then it extends to an L'-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $L' > 1$ depending only on L, c and n.*
2. *If E is C-relatively connected and f is η-quasisymmetric then f extends to an η'-quasisymmetric homeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with η' depending only on η, c, C and n.*

The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on a uniformization result for Cantor sets with bounded geometry that generalizes a result of MacManus [Mac99]. Namely, in Section 9 we show that a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is uniformly perfect and uniformly disconnected if and only if there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ mapping E onto the standard middle-third Cantor set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

In Section 4 we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case that U is unbounded with bounded and perfect boundary and the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the case and E is compact and perfect.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows Carleson's method [Car74]. The main idea is the construction of two combinatorially equivalent Whitney-type decompositions $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}'$ for U and U' respectively. That is, $\mathscr{D}$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}'$) is a family of mutually disjoint open subsets of U (resp. U') such that the union of their closures is the whole U (resp. U'), the diameter of each element of $\mathscr{D}$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}'$) is comparable to its distance to ∂U (resp. $\partial U'$) and there exists a homeomorphism of $\overline{U}$ onto $\overline{U'}$ that maps each element of $\mathscr{D}$ onto exactly one element of $\mathscr{D}'$. Moreover, the boundary of every domain in $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}'$ is a finite union of L-bi-Lipschitz circles whose mutual distances and diameters are bounded below by a constant $d > 0$. We show in Section 3 that such domains possess both BLEP and QSEP.

The construction of the two decompositions makes use of the uniformity of U and U'. In Section 5, we show that the boundary of a uniform domain satisfies a weak form of uniform connectedness: given a point $x \in \partial U$ and some $r > 0$, there exists a closed set $A \subset \partial U$ containing x whose distance from $\partial U \setminus A$ is at most a multiple of r.

In Section 7, using the results of Section 5, we construct the decompositions $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}'$ and show Theorem 1.1. Towards the construction we distinguish two cases: one for the part of U around non-degenerate components of ∂U which we treat in Section 6 and another for the rest of U. In the first case the decomposition

resembles that of the exterior of a quasidisk while in the second U resembles the exterior of a uniformly disconnected set.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank David Herron, Pekka Koskela, Kai Rajala and Jang-Mei Wu for various discussions on this subject and Jussi Väisälä for bringing Lemma 2.10 to our attention.

2. Preliminaries

A Jordan curve (resp. Jordan line) is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}$). A Jordan domain is a domain whose boundary is a Jordan curve. A closed set E with one point is called a *degenerate* set. A non-degenerate compact connected set is called a *continuum*.

2.1. **Mappings.** A homeomorphism $f\colon D \to D'$ between two domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called K-*quasiconformal* if it is orientation-preserving, belongs to $W^{1,n}_{\mathrm{loc}}(D)$, and satisfies the distortion inequality

$$|Df(x)|^n \le K J_f(x) \quad \text{a. e. } x \in D,$$

where Df is the formal differential matrix and J_f is the Jacobian.

An embedding f of a metric space (X, d_X) into a metric space (Y, d_Y) is said to be η-*quasisymmetric* if there exists a homeomorphism $\eta\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $x, a, b \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$d_X(x,a) \le t d_X(x,b) \quad \text{implies} \quad d_Y(f(x),f(a)) \le \eta(t) d_Y(f(x),f(b)).$$

An η-quasisymmetric map with $\eta(t) = C\max\{t^\alpha, t^{1/\alpha}\}$ for some $C > 1$ and $\alpha > 1$ is known in literature as *power quasisymmetric map*.

A quasisymmetric mapping between two domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is quasiconformal. On the other hand, a quasiconformal homeomorphism between uniform domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is quasisymmetric quantitatively.

Lemma 2.1 ([Väi85, Theorem 5.6])**.** *Let U, U' be c-uniform domains in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $f : U \to U'$ be a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism. Then f is η-quasisymmetric with η depending only on K, c and n.*

For a systematic treatment of quasiconformal mappings see [Väi71].

A map $f\colon X \to Y$ between metric spaces is L-*bi-Lipschitz* for some $L \ge 1$ if

$$L^{-1} d_X(x,y) \le d_Y(f(x),f(y)) \le L d_X(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Note that an L-bi-Lipschitz mapping is $L^2 t$-quasisymmetric.

A weaker notion of bi-Lipschitz mappings is that of *bounded length distortion* (*BLD*) mappings. A mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ between metric spaces is L-BLD for some $L \ge 1$ if

$$L^{-1}\ell(\gamma) \le \ell(f(\gamma)) \le \ell(\gamma)$$

for all paths $\gamma : [0,1] \to X$. Here and for the rest, ℓ denotes the length of a path. Clearly, L-bi-Lipschitz mappings are L-BLD mappings but BLD mappings need not be bi-Lipschitz even if they are homeomorphisms. However, BLD homeomorphisms between quasiconvex spaces are bi-Lipschitz.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an L-BLD homeomorphism between two c-quasiconvex metric spaces. Then f is Lc-bi-Lipschitz.*

A mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ between metric spaces is a (λ, L)-*quasisimilarity* for some $\lambda > 0$ and $L \geq 1$ if $L^{-1}\lambda d_X(x,y) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq L\lambda d_X(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Note that $(\lambda, 1)$-quasisimilarities are similarities, $(1, L)$-quasisimilarities are L-bi-Lipschitz and $(1,1)$-quasisimilarites are isometries.

A curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a K-quasicircle (resp. K-quasiline) with $K \geq 1$ if $\Gamma = f(\mathbb{S}^1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = f(\mathbb{R})$) for some K-quasiconformal $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$. A topological disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is called a K-quasidisk if ∂D is a K-quasicircle. A geometric characterization of quasicircles was given by Ahlfors [Ahl63] in terms of the the bounded turning property; see Section 2.5.

A curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is called an L-bi-Lipschitz circle with $L \geq 1$ if $\Gamma = f(\mathbb{S}^1)$ for some (λ, L)-quasisimilarity $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$. A topological disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is called an L-bi-Lipschitz disk if ∂D is an L-bi-Lipschitz circle.

2.2. **Relative distance.** For two non-degenerate closed sets $E, E' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ define the relative distance

$$\operatorname{dist}^*(E, E') = \frac{\operatorname{dist}(E, E')}{\min\{\operatorname{diam} E, \operatorname{diam} E'\}}$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(E', E') = \min\{|x - y| : x \in E, y \in E'\}$. If both E and E' have infinite diameter we set $\operatorname{dist}^*(E, E') = 0$.

If $E, E' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a similiraty then $d^*(f(E), f(E')) = d^*(E, E')$. In general, if $f : E \cup E' \to Y$ is η-quasisymmetric, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(d^*(E, E')) \leq d^*(f(E), f(E')) \leq \eta(2d^*(E, E')) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\phi(t) = (\eta(t^{-1}))^{-1}$; see for example [Tys98, p. 532].

2.3. **Relatively connected sets.** Relatively connected sets were first introduced by Trotsenko and Väisälä [TV99] in the study of spaces for which every quasisymmetric mapping is power quasisymmetric. A metric space (X, d) is called *c-relatively connected* for some $c \geq 1$ if for any $x \in X$ and any $r > 0$ either $\overline{B}(x, r) = \{x\}$ or $\overline{B}(x, r) = X$ or $\overline{B}(x, r) \setminus B(x, r/c) \neq \emptyset$. The definition given in [TV99] is equivalent to the one above quantitatively [TV99, Theorem 4.11].

A connected space is c-relatively connected for any $c > 1$. Relative connectedness is a weak form of the well known notion of uniform perfectness. A metric space X is *c-uniformly perfect* for some $c > 1$ if for all $x \in X$, $\overline{B}(x, r) \neq X$ implies $\overline{B}(x, r) \setminus B(x, r/c) \neq \emptyset$. The difference between the two notions is that relatively connected sets allow isolated points. In particular, if E is c-uniformly perfect, then it is c'-relatively connected for all $c' > c$, and if E is c-relatively connected and perfect, then it is $(2c + 1)$-uniformly perfect [TV99, Theorem 4.13].

The connection between relative connectedness and quasisymmetric mappings is illustrated in the following theorem from [TV99].

Lemma 2.3 ([TV99, Theorem 6.20])**.** *A subset E of a metric space X is relatively connected if and only if every quasisymmetric map $f : E \to X$ is power quasisymmetric.*

It easily follows from its definition that the image of a relatively connected (resp. uniformly perfect) space under a quasisymmetric mapping is relatively connected (resp. uniformly perfect) quantitatively. We conclude the discussion on relatively connected sets with the following remark.

Remark 2.4. *Suppose that X is a c-uniformly perfect metric space and $E \subset X$ is compact with $E \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$. Then, there exists $M > 1$ depending only on c such that* $\operatorname{dist}(E, E \setminus X) \leq M \operatorname{diam} E$.

2.4. **Uniformly disconnected sets.** In [DS97], David and Semmes introduced a scale-invariant version of total disconnectedness towards a uniformization of all metric spaces that are quasisymmetric to the standard middle-third Cantor set. A space (X, d) is *c-uniformly disconnected* for some $c \geq 1$ if for all $x \in X$ and all positive $r < \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{diam} X$, there exists $E \subset X$ containing x such that $\operatorname{diam} E \leq r$ and $\operatorname{dist}(E, X \setminus E) \geq r/c$.

With this terminology, David and Semmes showed that a metric space is quasisymmetric to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if it is compact, doubling, uniformly disconnected and uniformly perfect. This result was later improved by MacManus [Mac99] for Cantor sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$; see Section 9.

In the same article, MacManus found an elegant connection between planar uniform domains and uniformly disconnected sets: *a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with empty interior is uniformly disconnected if and only if its complement is uniform* [Mac99, Theorem 1.1]. In higher dimensions only the necessity is true.

It is easy to check that if X is a c-uniformly disconnected space and $f : X \to Y$ is η-quasisymmetric then $f(X)$ is c'-uniformly disconnected with c' depending only on η and c.

2.5. **Uniform domains.** A domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *c-uniform* for some $c \geq 1$ if for all $x, y \in U$, there exists a curve $\gamma \subset U$ joining x with y such that

(1) $\ell(\gamma) \leq c|x - y|$ and
(2) for all $z \in \gamma$, $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \geq c^{-1} \min\{|x - z|, |y - z|\}$.

A curve γ as in the above definition is called a *c-cigar curve*.

Metric spaces for which, for every two points there exists curve satisfying the first property of uniformity are called *c-quasiconvex*. If in the definition of quasiconvexity the length of curves is replaced by diameter then the space is called *c-bounded turning*. Metric spaces for which, for every two points there exists curve satisfying the second condition are called *c-John spaces*.

A curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a K-quasicircle if and only if it is c-bounded turning with c and K being related quantitatively [Ahl63]. A Jordan curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an L-bi-Lipschitz circle if and only if it is c-quasiconvex with c and L being related quantitatively [JK82]. Finally, a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is uniform if and only if it is a quasidisk (or quasiplane) and D is a John domain if and only if its complement is bounded turning [NV91].

Two remarks are in order.

Remark 2.5. *It is easy to check that all curves in the definition of uniform domains can be chosen to be simple. For the rest of the paper, all cigar curves are assumed to be simple.*

Remark 2.6. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a c-uniform domain, $x, y \in U$ and γ be a c-cigar curve joining x, y. Then,*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\gamma, \partial U) \geq (2c)^{-1} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U), \operatorname{dist}(y, \partial U)\}.$$

Indeed, set $\epsilon = \min\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U), \operatorname{dist}(y, \partial U)\}$ and let $z \in \gamma$. If $z \in \overline{B}^n(x, \epsilon/2) \cup \overline{B}^n(y, \epsilon/2)$ then $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \geq \epsilon/2$. If z is in the exterior of these balls then $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \geq c^{-1} \min\{|z - x|, |z - y|\} \geq (2c)^{-1}\epsilon$.

The following proposition describes the geometry of uniform domains. For the proof see Corollary 2.33 in [MS79], Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 in [Geh82] and Theorem 1.1 in [Her87].

Proposition 2.7. *Let U be a c-uniform domain.*

(1) (Boundary components) Each component of ∂U is either a point or a K-quasicircle or a K-quasiline with $K > 1$ depending only on c.
(2) (Relative distance) If A_1, A_2 are non-degenerate components of ∂U then $dist^*(A_1, A_2) \geq (2c)^{-2}$.
(3) (Porosity) For every $x \in \overline{U}$ and every $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{diam} U$, there exists $x' \in \partial B^2(x, r)$ such that $B^2(x, r/c) \subset U$.

The porosity of ∂U implies that if U is bounded, there exists a point $x \in U$ such that $B^2(x, \frac{1}{4c}\operatorname{diam} U) \subset U$.

Although Proposition 2.7 provides a lot of information about the boundaries of uniform domains, it fails to characterize them. Namely, if $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Cantor set with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) > 0$ then $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ trivially satisfies all three properties of Proposition 2.7 but it is not uniform. If U is finitely connected and satisfies properties (1) and (2) then it is uniform. We record this observation as a remark.

Remark 2.8. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a c-uniform domain and $D \subset U$ be a K-quasidisk such that $dist^*(\overline{D}, \partial U) \geq d > 0$. Then $U \setminus \overline{D}$ is c'-uniform with c' depending only on c, K and d.*

We conclude the discussion on uniform domains with two results on the invariance of uniformity under quasisymmetric mappings. The first result says that uniform domains are preserved under quasisymmetric mappings while the second result roughly says that complement of uniform domains are preserved under quasisymmetric mappings.

Lemma 2.9 ([GO79, Corollary 3])**.** *If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is c-uniform and $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is η-quasisymmetric then $f(U)$ is c'-uniform with c' depending only on c and η.*

Lemma 2.10 ([Väi88a, Theorem 5.6])**.** *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is closed, $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ is c-uniform and $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is η-quasisymmetric then $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus f(E)$ is c'-uniform with c' depending only on c and η.*

3. Quasisymmetric and bi-Lipschitz extension for a class of finitely connected domains

In this section we extend a well known quasisymmetric extension result of Beurling and Ahlfors [BA56] and a bi-Lipschitz extension result of Tukia [Tuk80] for a class of finitely connected uniform domains.

Theorem 3.1. [BA56, Tuk80] *If $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is η_1-quasisymmetric (resp. L_1-bi-Lipschitz) then it extends η_2-quasisymmetrically (resp. L_2-bi-Lipschitz) on $\mathbb{B}^2$ with η_2 depending only on η_1 (resp. L_2 depending only on L_1).*

Let $L \geq 1$, $K \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$. Denote by $\mathscr{QC}(K, d)$ (resp. $\mathscr{BL}^*(L, d)$) the collection of planar bounded domains $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ whose boundary consists of mutually disjoint K-quasicircles (resp. L-bi-Lipschitz circles) with mutual distances and diameters bounded below by $d^{-1}\operatorname{diam} U$. Let also $\mathscr{BL}(L, d)$ be the collection

of bounded domains $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ whose boundary consists of mutually disjoint L-bi-Lipschitz circles with mutual distances bounded below by $d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U$. Note that $\mathscr{BL}^*(L,d) \subset \mathscr{BL}(L,d)$ and $\mathscr{BL}^*(L,d) \subset \mathscr{QC}(L^2,d)$.

The following proposition, which is the main result of this section, generalizes Theorem 3.1 and is a special case of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain and $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an embedding that can be extended homeomorphically on U.*

(1) If $U \in \mathscr{QC}(K,d)$ and f is η_1-quasisymmetric then it extends as an η_2-quasisymmetric embedding of U with η_2 depending only on η_1, K and d.
(2) If $U \in \mathscr{BL}(L,d)$ and f is L_1-bi-Lipschitz then it extends as an L_2-bi-Lipschitz embedding of U with L_2 depending only on L_1, K and d.

We first show that domains in $\mathscr{QC}(K,d)$ and $\mathscr{BL}(L,d)$ are finitely connected quantitatively. Although this result follows almost immediately from the doubling property, with a little more effort, one can show the following stronger statement.

Lemma 3.3. *For each $K, d > 1$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $D_1, \dots, D_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are disjoint K-quasidisks of mutual relative distances at most d then $n \leq N$.*

Proof. We use the following three well known facts. By the doubling property of $\mathbb{R}^2$, there exists universal $c_0 > 1$ such that if $B^2(z_1, r_1), \dots, B^2(z_n, r_n)$ are mutually disjoint disks contained in the unit disk $\mathbb{B}^2$, then there exists r_i such that $r_i \leq c_0/n$. Moreover, given a K-quasicircle Γ there exists $c > 1$ depending only on K and there exists a point x in the domain D enclosed by Γ such that $B^2(x, c^{-1} \operatorname{diam} \Gamma) \subset D \subset B^2(x, c \operatorname{diam} \Gamma)$. Combined together, we have that if $D_1, \dots, D_n$ are disjoint K-quasidisks in $B^2(x,r)$ then there exists $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $\operatorname{diam} D_i \leq cc_0 r$.

Let $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be disjoint K-quasidisks of mutual relative distances at most d. Assume that D_n has the smallest diameter among them and applying a dilation we may further assume that $\operatorname{diam} D_n = 1$. Note also that each D_i is C-uniform for some $C > 1$ depending only on K.

Fix a point $x_0 \in \Gamma_n$. Since $\operatorname{dist}^*(D_i, D_n) \leq d$, every quasidisk D_i intersects $B^2(x_0, 2d)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Note that there are at most $4cc_0 d$ elements of $\{D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}\}$ contained entirely in $B^2(x_0, 4d)$. Let $D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_m}$ be quasidisks in the collection $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ that have points at the exterior of $B^2(x_0, 6d)$. For each one of them, fix points $x_j \in D_{i_j} \cap B^2(x_0, 2d)$, $y_j \in D_{i_j} \setminus \overline{B}^2(x_0, 2d)$ and γ_j a C-cigar curve in D_{i_j} joining x_j with y_j. Let $z_j \in \gamma_j \cap \partial B^2(x_0, 3d)$. Then, $B^2(z_j, C^{-1}d) \subset D_{i_j}$ and since $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \partial B^2(x_0, 3d)$, necessarily $m \leq 6\pi C$. Hence, $n \leq 4cc_0 d + 6\pi C$. □

Corollary 3.4. *For each $c > 1$ and $d > 1$ there exists $N > 1$ depending only on L and d such that every domain in $\mathscr{QC}(c,d) \cup \mathscr{BL}(c,d)$ has at most N boundary components.*

For the rest, $\mathcal{U}_0$ denotes the square $(-1,1) \times (-1,1)$ and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{m,k} = [\frac{4k-2m-3}{2m+1}, \frac{4k-2m-1}{2m+1}] \times [\frac{-1}{2m+1}, \frac{1}{2m+1}]$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_m \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{S}_{m,k}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Each $U \in \mathscr{QC}(K,d)$ (resp. $\mathscr{BL}^*(L,d)$) is η-quasisymmetric (resp. $(L', \operatorname{diam} U)$-quasisimilar) to $\mathcal{U}_m$ for some $0 \le m \le N$ with η and N depending only on K and d (resp. N and L' depending only on L and d).*

For the proof of the lemma recall that a *dyadic n-cube* $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an n-cube of the form $D = [i_1 2^k, (i_1+1)2^k] \times \cdots \times [i_n 2^k, (i_n+1)2^k]$ where $k, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n = 2$, D is called a dyadic square.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. The lemma is trivial if U is simply connected. Suppose now that $U = D_0 \setminus (D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m)$ of diameters and mutual distances bounded below by $d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U$. By Corollary 3.4, $m \le N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on L and d.

Assume first that $U \in \mathscr{BL}^*(L,d)$. Applying a $(\operatorname{diam} U, L_1)$-quasisimilarity, with L_1 depending only on L, we may assume that $D_0 = \mathcal{U}_0$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists $L_2 > 1$ depending only on L and d such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$ there exists an L_2-bi-Lipschitz disk D_i' containing D_i such that $(24d)^{-1} \le \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D_i) \le (3d)^{-1}$. Moreover, each D_i contains a dyadic square S_i with side-length 2^{-m_0} where m_0 is the smallest integer such that $2^{-m_0} \le \min\{(4Ld)^{-1}, \log(2N^{-1})/\log 2\}$. Note that both $\operatorname{dist}(\partial D_i, S_i)$ and $\operatorname{diam} S_i$ are bounded below by δ for some $\delta \in (0,1)$ depending only on L and d.

There exists L_3 depending only on L, d such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$ there exists an L_3-bi-Lipschitz mapping $f_i : \partial D_i' \cup \partial D_i \to \partial D_i' \cup \partial S_i$ with $f_i | \partial D_i' = \mathrm{Id}$ and $f_i(\partial D_i) = \partial S_i$. By the Annulus Theorem in the LIP category [Väi77, Theorem 3.4], each f_i can be extended to an L_4-bi-Lipschitz mapping $f_i : \overline{D_i' \setminus D_i} \to \overline{D_i' \setminus S_i}$ with L_4 depending only on L and d. Moving the squares S_i around and properly dialating them, we can map $D_0 \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i)$ bi-Lipschitz onto $\mathcal{U}_n$. Since there are at most $(2^{2m_0+2})!$ different configurations for the position of the squares $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ inside D_0, the bi-Lipschitz constant of the latter map depends at most on L and d.

The proof of the quasisymmetric case is almost identical. The only notable difference is that here we use the Annulus Theorem in the LQC category [TV81, Theorem 3.12] to obtain η-quasisymmetric extensions of the mappings f_i with η depending only on K and d. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Since the embedding f in both cases can be extended homeomorphically on U, there exists a domain $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\partial U' = f(\partial U)$ and f can be extended to a homeomorphism of U onto U'.

Suppose first that $U \in \mathscr{QC}(K,d)$ and that f is η_1-quasisymmetric. By Lemma 3.5, we may assume that $U = U' = \mathcal{U}_m$ where $m \le N$ for some N depending only on K and d. Moreover, applying a λ-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism of $\mathcal{U}_m$ onto itself with $\lambda > 1$ depending only on N, we may assume that f maps $\partial \mathcal{S}_{m,k}$ onto $\partial \mathcal{S}_{m,k}$.

If $m = 0$, the claim follows from Theorem 3.1 while if $m = 1$, it follows from the Annulus theorem in the LQC category. Assume for the rest that $m \ge 2$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_0' = [\frac{1/2}{2m+1} - 1, 1 - \frac{1/2}{2m+1}]^2$ and for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$ let

$$\mathcal{S}_{m,k}' = [\frac{4k-2m-7/2}{2m+1}, \frac{4k-2m-1/2}{2m+1}] \times [-\frac{3/2}{2m+1}, \frac{3/2}{2m+1}]$$

so that $\mathcal{S}_{k,m} \subset \mathcal{S}_{k,m}' \subset \mathcal{S}_0' \subset (-1,1)^2$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Extend f on $\partial \mathcal{S}_0'$ and on each $\mathcal{S}_{k,m}'$ with identity and note that the new embedding, which we still denote by f, is η_1'-quasisymmetric with η_1' depending only on η_1 and d. Applying the Annulus theorem in the LQC category on the interior of each $\mathcal{S}_{k,m}' \setminus \mathcal{S}_{k,m}$ we

obtain an η_2-quasisymmetric extension $F : U \to U'$ with η_2 depending only on K, d and η.

Suppose now that $U \in \mathscr{BL}(L, d)$ and $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is L_1-bi-Lipschitz and can be extended homeomorphical on U. If U is simply connected then the claim follows from Theorem 3.1. Assume now that U is not simply connected. As before, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on L and d such that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U$ has at most N bounded components $D_1, \dots, D_m$. Moreover, there exists $U' \in \mathscr{BL}(L, d)$ such that $\partial U' = f(\partial U)$ and f extends to a homeomorphism from U onto U'.

Let D_i be a bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U$ and set D_i' be the bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U'$ such that $\partial D_i' = f(\partial D_i)$. Let j be the maximal integer such that $\operatorname{diam} D_i \leq 2^{-j-3}(L_1 d)^{-1} d \operatorname{diam} U$. If $j \leq 1$ then $\operatorname{diam} D_i \geq \frac{1}{32L_1 d} \operatorname{diam} U$ and set $\tilde{D}_i = D_i$.

Suppose that $j \geq 2$. Fix a point $x \in \partial D_i$ and let $x' = f(x) \in \partial D_i$. Let $B_i = B^2(x, 2L_1 \operatorname{diam} \partial D_i)$, $\tilde{D}_i = B^2(x', 2L_1 \operatorname{diam} \partial D_i)$, $B_i' = B^2(x, 2^j L_1 \operatorname{diam} \partial D_i)$ and $\tilde{D}_i' = B^2(x', 2^j L_1 \operatorname{diam} \partial D_i)$. Note that $D_i \subset B_i \subset \tilde{D}_i$ and $\tilde{D}_i \cap (\partial U \setminus \partial D_i) = \emptyset$ and similarly for D_i'. Moreover, $\operatorname{diam} \tilde{D}_i \geq \frac{1}{32L_1 d} \operatorname{diam} U$ and

$$\min\{\operatorname{dist}(\tilde{D}_i, \partial U \setminus \partial D_i), \operatorname{dist}(\tilde{D}_i', \partial U' \setminus \partial D_i')\} \geq (4Ld)^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{U} = U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tilde{D}_i \in \mathscr{BL}^*(L, d')$ for some d' depending only on d and L_1. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ define $f|\tilde{D}_i \setminus \partial B_i$ by translation and apply the Annulus Theorem in the LIP category [Väi77, Theorem 3.4] to extend f on $B_i \setminus D_i$ L_1'-bi-Lipschitz with $L_1' > 1$ depending only on L, d and L_1.

The extension of f on $\tilde{U}$ is similar as with with the first part of Proposition 3.2 applying Lemma 3.5 and the Annulus Theorem in the LIP category. □

3.1. **A higher dimensional extension.** It is well known that both cases of Theorem 3.1 are false in $\mathbb{R}^3$ due to the existence of Lipschitz embeddings of $\mathbb{S}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^3$ that can be extended homeomorphically on $\mathbb{R}^3$ but not quasisymmetrically; see for example [Tuk80, Section 15].

In this section we work with a much simpler setting. For $d > 1$ denote by $\mathscr{C}_n(d)$ the collection of domains $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ whose boundary components are boundaries of n-cubes of mutual distances bounded below by $d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $U \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ and $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be L-bi-Lipschitz that is a similarity on each component of ∂U and extends homeomorphically on U. Then f extends L'-bi-Lipschitz on U with L' depending only on L, d and n.*

Before the proof of Proposition 3.6 recall that given a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$, the *δ-neighborhood* of A in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as $N_d^n(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} B^n(x, \delta)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. We only give a sketch of the proof as it is similar to that of Proposition 3.2. Since f extends on U, there exists a domain $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ whose boundary is a union of disjoint cubes such that f maps ∂U on $\partial U'$ and any homeomorphic extension on U maps U on U'.

Firstly, by the doubling property of $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on n and d such that ∂U has at most N components. In particular, $U = D_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m \overline{D_i}$ where D_i are open n-cubes and $m \leq N$.

Secondly, applying the Annulus Theorem in the LIP category, we obtain a small $\delta > 0$ and an L_1-bi-Lipschitz map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ which is identity in $U \setminus N_\delta(\partial U)$, maps ∂D_0 on a dyadic cube D_0' of side-length 2^{k_0+1} and each cube

D_i is mapped to a dyadic cube of side-length 2^{k_i+1} where k_0 is minimal integer such that $\operatorname{diam} D_0 \le 2^{k_0-1}$ and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are maximal integers such that $2^{k_i} \le \min\{\frac{1}{N}2^{k_0}, \frac{1}{16}\operatorname{diam} D_i\}$. Here, δ and L_1 depend only on n, L and d.

If $\operatorname{diam} D_i'$ is very small compared to $\operatorname{diam} D_0'$ then, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can replace D_i' with a new dyadic cube which we still denote by D_i' whose side-length is comparable to that of D_0 but no more than $\frac{1}{N}2^{k_0}$.

Applying a uniformization result like Lemma 3.5, we may assume that $U = U'$. The rest of the proof follows from applying the Annulus Theorem in the LIP category m times. □

4. Two reductions

Towards the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 we apply some reductions. In Section 4.1 we show that ∂U in Theorem 1.1 and E in Theorem 1.3 can be assumed perfect. In Section 4.2 we show that U can be assumed to be unnbounded with compact boundary and E can be assumed compact.

4.1. **Perfect boundary.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set. For each isolated point $x \in E$ let $\pi(x) \in E$ be a point of smallest distance to x and E_x be the image of the standard middle-third Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$ under a similarity with scaling factor $\frac{1}{10}|x - \pi(x)|$ such that E_x contains x. If $x \in E$ is not isolated then set $E_x = \{x\}$. Let $\hat{E} = \bigcup_{x\in E} E_x$. Note that $\hat{E}$ is closed.

Lemma 4.1. *For each $c \ge 1$ there exists $c' \ge 1$ depending only on c satisfying the following properties.*

1. *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is c-relatively connected then $\hat{E}$ is c'-uniformly perfect.*
2. *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is c-uniformly disconnected then $\hat{E}$ is c'-uniformly disconnected.*
3. *If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is c-uniform and $U' \subset U$ is the domain with $\partial U' = \partial \hat{U}$ then U' is c'-uniform.*

Proof. The proof of the first claim is similar to that of Lemma 3.3 in [Vel16]. Let $x \in \hat{E}$ and $r > 0$. From the fact that $\hat{E}$ is perfect, we have $\{x\} \subsetneq \overline{B}(x, r) \cap \hat{E}$. Suppose that $\hat{E} \setminus \overline{B}(x, r) \ne \emptyset$. If $x \in E$ and is not isolated in E,

$$\emptyset \ne E \cap (\overline{B}^n(x, r) \setminus B^n(x, r/c)) \subset \hat{E} \cap (\overline{B}^n(x, r) \setminus B^n(x, r/c)).$$

Suppose $x \in E_z$ for some isolated point $z \in E$. If $r > 2c \operatorname{dist}(z, E \setminus \{z\})$ then $\emptyset \ne (E \setminus \{z\}) \cap \overline{B}^n(z, r/2) \subset \hat{E} \cap \overline{B}^n(x, r)$. Therefore,

$$\emptyset \ne E \cap (\overline{B}^n(z, r/2) \setminus B^n(z, (2c)^{-1}r)) \subset \hat{E} \cap (\overline{B}^n(x, r) \setminus B^n(x, (4c)^{-1}r)).$$

If $r \le 2c \operatorname{dist}(z, E \setminus \{z\})$ then $(20c)^{-1}r \le \frac{1}{10}\operatorname{dist}(z, E \setminus \{z\})$. The relative connectedness of $\mathcal{C}$ gives

$$\emptyset \ne E_z \cap (\overline{B}^n(x, r) \setminus B^n(z, (20c_0)^{-1}r)) \subset \hat{E} \cap (\overline{B}^n(x, r) \setminus B^n(x, (20c_0)^{-1}r))$$

for some $c_0 > 1$ depending only on c.

To show the second claim, let $x \in \hat{E}$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{diam} \hat{E}$ and let $z \in E$ be the unique point of E such that $x \in E_z$. If z is an accumulation point then $z = x$. Let E' be the subset of E containing x with $\operatorname{diam} E' \le r$ and $\operatorname{dist}(E', E \setminus E') \ge c^{-1}r$. Then $\operatorname{diam} \hat{E}' \le \frac{11}{10}r$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\hat{E} \setminus \hat{E}') \ge \frac{9}{10}c^{-1}r$.

Assume now that z is isolated point. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is c_0-uniformly disconnected, the claim of the lemma follows with $c' = c_0$ if $r < \frac{1}{8}\operatorname{diam} E_z$. Also, by uniform disconnectedness of $\mathcal{C}$, if $r < 100 \operatorname{diam} E_z$, then then the claim of the lemma is true

for $c' = c_0/400$. If $100 \operatorname{diam} E_z \geq \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{diam} \hat{E}$ then we are done. Assume the opposite and let $r > 100 \operatorname{diam} E_z$. By uniform disconnectedness of E, there exists $E' \subset \overline{E}$ containing z such that $\operatorname{diam} E' \leq r/2$ and $\operatorname{dist}(E', \overline{E} \setminus E') \geq (2c)^{-1} r$. Then $x \in \hat{E}'$, $\operatorname{diam} \hat{E}' \leq r$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\hat{E}', \hat{E} \setminus \hat{E}') \geq (4c)^{-1} r$.

For the third claim let E be the set of isolated points of ∂U. Then $U' = U \setminus \hat{E}$. The uniformity of U' follows from the fact that $\hat{E}$ is uniformly disconnected and therefore an NUD in the sense of Väisälä [Väi88b]; see Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 in [Mac99]. □

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a mapping $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$. If f is η-quasisymetric, define $\hat{f} : \hat{E} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\hat{f}|E = f$ and for every isolated point $x \in E$,

$$\hat{f}|E_x(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \frac{|f(x) - f(\pi(x))|}{|x - \pi(x)|}(y - x).$$

If f is L-bi-Lipschitz, define $\hat{f} : \hat{E} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\hat{f}|E = f$ and for every isolated point $x \in E$,

$$\hat{f}|E_x(y) = f(x) + \frac{1}{L^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(\pi(x))|}{|x - \pi(x)|}(y - x).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be η-quasisymmetric (resp. L-bi-Lipschitz). Then $\hat{f}$ is η'-quasisummetric (resp. $(5L)$-bi-Lipschitz) with η' depending only on η.*

Proof. We first show the claim for bi-Lipschitz mappings. Given two distinct points $x, y \in \hat{E}$, there exist unique $x', y' \in E$ such that $x \in E_{x'}$ and $y \in E_{y'}$. If $x' = y'$ there is nothing to prove as $\hat{f}$ is affine on $E_{x'}$. Suppose that $x' \neq y'$ and note that

$$|x - y| \geq \max\{\frac{9}{10}|x' - \pi(x')|, \frac{9}{10}|y' - \pi(y')|, |x - x'|, |y - y'|\}.$$

Then, $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x') - f(y')| + L^{-1}(|x - x'| + |y - y'|) \leq 5L|x - y|$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq L^{-1}|x' - y'| - L^{-1}(|x - x'| + |y - y'|) \geq (2L)^{-1}|x - y|$.

The proof in the case that f is quasisymmetric is similar to that of Lemma 3.3 in [Vel16]. Let $x, y, z \in E^*$ be three distinct points with $x \in E_{x'}$, $y \in E_{y'}$ and $z \in E_{z'}$ for some $x', y', z' \in E$. If $x' = y' = z'$ then x, y, z are in the same $E_{x'}$ where $\hat{f}$ is affine. If $x' \neq z'$ and $x' = y'$ then the prerequisites of Lemma 2.29 in [Sem96] are satisfied (see also Remark 3.2 in [Vel16]) for $A = E \setminus \{x'\}$, $A^* = E \cup E_{x'}$ and $H = \hat{f}|A^*$. Thus, $\hat{f}|E \cup E_{x'}$ is η'-quasisymmetric for some η' depending only on η. Hence,

$$\frac{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)|}{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(z)|} \leq C_1 \frac{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)|}{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(z')|} \leq C_1 \eta' \left(\frac{|x - y|}{|x - z'|} \right) \leq C_1 \eta' \left(C_2 \frac{|x - y|}{|x - z|} \right)$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 1$ depending only on η. Similarly for $x' = z' \neq y'$. If x', y', z' are distinct then by Remark 3.2 in [Vel16],

$$\frac{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)|}{|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(z)|} \leq C_3 \frac{|\hat{f}(x') - \hat{f}(y')|}{|\hat{f}(x') - \hat{f}(z')|} \leq C_3 \eta \left(\frac{|x' - y'|}{|x' - z'|} \right) \leq C_3 \eta \left(C_4 \frac{|x - y|}{|x - z|} \right)$$

for some $C_3, C_4 > 1$ depending only on η. Therefore, $\hat{f}$ is quasisymmetric. □

4.2. **Bounded boundary.** We reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case that U is the complement of a compact set, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the case that E is compact.

For the bi-Lipschitz case we make the following observation that is used repeatedly in this section. The proof is simple and is left to the reader.

Remark 4.3. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be non-degenerate, $x_0 \in E$ and $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be L-bi-Lipschitz. Then, $g : \{(x - x_0)|x - x_0|^{-2} : x \in E \setminus \{x_0\}\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with*

$$g(x) = \frac{f(x|x|^{-2} + x_0) - f(x_0)}{|f(x|x|^{-2} + x_0) - f(x_0)|^2}$$

is L'-bi-Lipschitz with L' depending only on L.

4.2.1. *Uniform domains.* For this section assume that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is c-uniform and that f is L-bi-Lipschitz (resp. f is η-quasisymmetric and ∂U is c-relatively connected) which admits a homeomorphic extension on U. Assume moreover that Theorem 1.1 holds when U is unbounded and ∂U is bounded. To simplify the exposition, we use complex coordinates for the rest of Section 4.2.1.

By Lemma 2.10, there exist $c' > 1$ depending only on c and L (resp. η) and a bounded c'-uniform domain U' such that f extends to a homeomorphism between U and U'. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose that U is bounded.

By the porosity of ∂U and $\partial U'$, there exist points $x_0 \in U$ and $x_0' \in U'$ such that $B^2(x_0, (4c)^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U) \subset U$ and $B^2(x_0', (4c')^{-1} \operatorname{diam} U') \subset U'$. Applying similarity mappings we may assume that $x_0 = x_0' = 0$ and $\operatorname{diam} U = \operatorname{diam} U' = 1$.

Assume first that f is L-bi-Lipschitz. The domain $U\{x_0\}$ is c_1-uniform for some c_1 depending only on c and the map $f_1 : \partial U \cup \{x_0\} \to \{x_0'\}$ with $f_1|\partial U = f$ and $f_1(x_0) = x_0'$ is L_1-bi-Lipschitz for some $L_1 > 1$ depending only on L and c. Moreover, f_1 admits a homeomorphic extension on U. Set $V = T(U)$, $V' = T(U')$, $g = T \circ f \circ T$. Then, V is an unbounded c_1-uniform domain, $\partial 1$ is bounded. By Remark 4.3, $g = T \circ f_1 \circ T$ is bi-Lipschitz defined on the boundary of an unbounded uniform domain with bounded boundary and the extension of g follows by our assumption. Taking inversions again, we obtain an L'-bi-Lipschitz extension for f with L' depending only on L and c.

Assume now that f is η-quasisymmetric. Note that the inversion map $T\colon \overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$ with $T(x) = x|x|^{-2}$ is 1-quasiconformal while $T|B^2(0,2) \setminus B^2(0,(8c)^{-1})$ and $T|B^2(0,2) \setminus B^2(0,(8c')^{-1})$ are L_2-bi-Lipschitz for some L_2 depending only on c and η. Again, note that V is an unbounded c_1-uniform domain, ∂V is a bounded and c_1-relatively connected, and Φ is η_1-quasisymmetric that can be extended as a homeomorphism of V. Here, c_1 and η_1 depending only on c and η. By our assumption, there exists an η_1'-quasisymmetric extension $G : V \to V'$. Let $F : U \to U'$ with $F = T \circ G \circ T$. Then, F is K-quasiconformal for some K depending only on c and η and by Lemma 2.1 F is η'-quasisymmetric with η' depending only on c and η.

Case 2. Suppose that U is unbounded and ∂U contains an unbounded component.

By Proposition 2.7, ∂U contains a quasiline Γ, all other components of ∂U are bounded and U is contained in one of the two components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$. Fix $z_0 \in \Gamma$.

The bi-Lipschitz case is similar to Case 1. Let $z_0' = f(z_0)$ and $r > 0$. Define x_0 be the point on $\partial B^2(z_0, r)$ such that $B^2(x_0, r/c) \subset U$. Similarly we obtain a point $x_0' \in \partial B^2(z_0', r)$. Extend f on $\{x_0\}$ with $f(x_0) = x_0'$ and the rest is as in Case 1.

Assume now that f is η-quasisymmetric. Applying an η_0-quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$ we may assume that $\Gamma = f(\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}\{0\}$, $z_0 = 0$ and that U and U' are subsets of the upper half-plane. Here η_0 depends only on c and η. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $z_k = (12c^2)^{n-1}$ and let γ_k be a c-cigar curve in U joining z_k with $-z_k$. Note that for $k \geq 2$, $\gamma_k \subset B^2(z_0, 3c(12c^2)^{k-1}) \setminus B^2(z_0, (2c)^{-1}(12c^2)^{k-1})$ and, therefore, $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}) \geq (4c)^{-1}(12c^2)^k$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let U_k be the domain bounded by γ_k and Γ and set $E_k = \partial U \cap \overline{U_k}$, $E_k' = f(E_k)$. Each U_k is bounded and it is easy to check that each U_k is c'-uniform with c'-relatively connected boundary for some $c' > 1$ depending only on c. Note also that $\operatorname{diam} E_k' \leq C|f(z_k) - f(-z_k)|$ for some C depending only on c and η. Define

$$\gamma_k' = [f(z_k), f(z_k) - 2i \operatorname{diam} E_k'] \cup [f(-z_k), f(-z_k) - 2i \operatorname{diam} E_k'] \cup C_1 \cup C_2$$

where σ_1, σ_2 are circular arcs of $\partial B^2(f(z_k), \operatorname{diam} E_k)$, $\partial B^2(f(-z_k), \operatorname{diam} E_k)$ respectively so that $\gamma_k \cup f([-z_k, z_k])$ is the boundary of a K-quasidisk D_k which contains E_k in its closure. Here K depends only on c and η.

Applying the quasisymmetric extension property of relatively connected subsets of quasicircles [Vel16], we extend f to an η_1-quasisymmetric $f_k : \partial U_k \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $f_k(\gamma_k) = \gamma_k'$. Here η_1 depends only on η and c. The extension F_k of f_k on each U_k follows from Case 1. As $U = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} U_k$, by standard converging arguments [Hei01, Corollary 10.30], $\{F_k\}$ subconverges to a mapping $F : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $F|\partial U = f$ that is η'-quasisymmetric.

Case 3. Suppose that U is unbounded and all components of ∂U are bounded.

Fix $x \in \partial U$ and let A_x be the component of ∂U containing x. Let $r_1 > 8 \operatorname{diam} A_x$. By Proposition 5.9, A_x is contained in a neighborhood $N_{i_1}(A_1, r_1)$ where $i_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ and A_1 is a component of ∂U. Let U_1 be the subset of U with boundary $N_{i_1}(A_1, r_1)$. Let $r_2 > 8 \operatorname{diam} N_{i_1}(A_1, r_1)$. Inductivelly, having defined r_k and $N_{i_k}(A_k, r_k)$, let $r_{k+1} > 8 \operatorname{diam} N_{i_k}(A_k, r_k)$ and arguments similar to that of Proposition 5.9 show that $N_{i_k}(A_k, r_k)$ is contained in a neighborhood $N_{i_{k+1}}(A_{k+1}, r_{k+1})$ where $i_{k+1} \in \{1, 2\}$ and A_{k+1} is a component of ∂U.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $U_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unbounded domain with $\partial U_k = N_{i_k}(A_k, r_k)$. By Lemma 5.10, each U_k is c'-uniform and each ∂U_k is c'-relatively connected for some $c' > 1$ depending only on c. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $F_k : U_k \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that extends $f|\partial U_k$ which is η'-quasisymmetric (resp. L'-bi-Lipschitz) with η' depending only on c and η (resp. $L' \geq 1$ depending only on c and L). As in Case 2, $\{F_k\}$ subconverges to a mapping $F : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $F|\partial U = f$ that is η'-quasisymmetric (resp. L'-bi-Lipschitz).

4.2.2. *Unbounded sets.* Assume that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) is unbounded and c-uniformly disconnected Assume also that $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is L-bi-Lipschitz (resp. E is c-uniformly perfect and f is η-quasisymmetric) and that Theorem 1.3 holds for bounded sets.

Fix $x \in E$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let E_k be a subset of E containing x such that $\operatorname{diam} E_k \leq 2^k$ and $\operatorname{dist}(E_k, E \setminus E_k) \geq c^{-1}2^k$. Note that each E_k is c-uniformly disconnected as the property is preserved on subsets.

Suppose that E is uniformly perfect and that f is η-quasisymmetric; the bi-Lipschitz case is identical. By c-uniform perfectness, $\operatorname{diam} E_k \geq c^{-2}2^k$. We show

that each E_k is c^2-uniformly perfect. Let $y \in E_k$ and $r > 0$. Since E_k is perfect, either $\overline{B}^n(y,r) \cap E_k = E_k$ or $E_k \setminus \overline{B}^n(y,r) \neq \emptyset$. Assume the latter. Then, $r \leq 2^k$ and by uniform discontinuity, $\overline{B}^n(y,c^{-1}r) \cap E_k = \overline{B}^n(y,c^{-1}r) \cap E$. By c-uniform perfectness of E, $(\overline{B}^n(y,c^{-1}r) \setminus B^n(y,c^{-2}r)) \cap E_k = (\overline{B}^n(y,c^{-1}r) \setminus B^n(y,c^{-2}r)) \cap E \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore, each E_k is c^2-uniformly disconnected and c^2-uniformly perfect. Assuming Theorem 1.3 for bounded sets, each $f|E_k$ extends to a mapping $F_k : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that is η'-quasisymmetric. with η' depending only on c and η. As in Section 4.2.1, $\{F_k\}$ subconverges to a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $F|E = f$ that is η'-quasisymmetric.

5. Separation of boundary components for planar uniform domains

For this section we fix an unbounded c-uniform domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with bounded boundary. The goal of this section is to break the boundary of ∂U into sets that are contained in bi-Lipschitz disks and are far from the boundary of those disks.

5.1. **Cubic thickening.** In this section we show that given a continuum $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and some $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a bi-Lipschitz disk D containing E so that each point of ∂D is of distance roughly $\epsilon > 0$ from E.

We first review some notation from [Mac99]. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon$ the square grid whose vertices are the points $(m\epsilon, n\epsilon)$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by Σ_ϵ the associated family of closed squares. Given a bounded set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let W^ϵ be the union of the elements of Σ_ϵ that intersect W. Let $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon(W) = (W^{4\epsilon})^\epsilon$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a decreasing homeomorphism $L : (0, +\infty) \to (1, +\infty)$ with the following property. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a continuum and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $L(\epsilon)$-bi-Lipschitz disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ containing E such that for all $x \in \partial D$,*

$$\epsilon \operatorname{diam} E \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E) \leq 8\epsilon \operatorname{diam} E.$$

Proof. If $\epsilon \geq 3$ then the set $\gamma_E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \colon \operatorname{dist}(x, E) = \epsilon \operatorname{diam} E\}$ is the boundary of an L_0-bi-Lipschitz disk with L_0 being a universal constant [Bro72, Lemma 1].

We assume for the rest that $\epsilon < 3$. Fix $\delta = \epsilon \operatorname{diam} E$. By Lemma 2.1 in [Mac99], $\mathcal{T}_\delta(E)$ is a closed domain whose boundary consists of at most N_2/ϵ disjoint Jordan curves, each of which is a subset of $\mathcal{G}_\delta$. Here, the number $N_2 > 1$ is a universal constant. Moreover, the distance from any boundary point of $\mathcal{T}_\delta(E)$ to E is less than 8δ and greater than δ. Let D be the domain bounded by the outermost component of $\partial \mathcal{T}_\delta(E)$, that is, D is the exterior of the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{T}_\delta(E)$. Then, $\delta \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, E) \leq 8\delta$ for all $x \in \partial D$.

Finally, notice that there are at most N_3/ϵ squares of $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ intersecting an 8δ-neighborhood $N(E, 8\delta)$ for some universal $N_3 > 1$. Therefore, there are at most $(N_3/\epsilon)^{N_3/\epsilon}$ different ways to form D. As each Jordan curve consisting of edges of $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ is a bi-Lipschitz circle, ∂D is L-bi-Lipschitz for some L depending on ϵ. □

In the case that E is a K-quasidisk, $L(\epsilon)$ may increase without control as $\epsilon \to \infty$ but the disk D_ϵ given in Lemma 5.1 is always a K'-quasidisk with K' depending only on K.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a K-quasidisk and let D_ϵ be the $L(\epsilon)$-bi-Lipschitz disk of Lemma 5.1. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, D_ϵ is a K'-quasidisk with K' depending only on K.*

Proof. We may assume that ϵ is sufficiently small. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\delta = \epsilon \operatorname{diam} E$, $D = D_\epsilon$, $\Gamma = \partial D$ and $\gamma = \partial E$. Since E is a K-quasidisk, γ satisfies the c-bounded turning property for some $c > 1$ depending only on K. We show that Γ is $136c$-bounded turning and the lemma follows.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Gamma$. Since, Γ is a polygonal curve in $\mathcal{G}_\delta$, it is enough if we assume that x, y are non-adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{G}_\delta$. Therefore, $|x_1 - x_2| \geq \delta$. Contrary to our claim assume that there exist $x_3, x_4 \in \Gamma$ such that x_3 and x_4 are in different components of $\Gamma \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $\min\{|x_3 - x_1|, |x_4 - x_1|\} \geq 68c|x_1 - x_2|$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ let x_i' be the point in γ closest to x_i. Then, $|x_i - x_i'| \in (\delta, 8\delta)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and x_3' and x_4' are in different components of $\gamma \setminus \{x_1', x_2'\}$. Therefore, for $i = 3, 4$, $|x_1' - x_i'| \geq |x_1 - x_i| - 16\delta \geq 34c|x_1 - x_2|$ while $2c|x_1 - x_2'| \leq 2c|x_1 - x_2| + 32c\delta \leq 34c|x_1 - x_2|$. Therefore, $\min\{|x_1' - x_3'|, |x_1' - x_4'|\} > c|x_1' - x_2'|$ and the c-bounded turning property is violated. □

Remark 5.3. *In addition to Lemma 5.2 note that for each $M > 0$ there exists $L(M) > 1$ such that any subarc γ of ∂D_ϵ whose endpoints x, y satisfy $|x - y| \leq M\epsilon$, is $L(M)$-bi-Lipschitz arc.*

5.2. **Local separation in the boundary of U.** In this section, given a compact $A \subset U$ that is disjoint from $\partial U \setminus A$ and a small $\epsilon > 0$, we construct a bi-Lipschitz circle that separates the two sets A and $\partial U \setminus A$ and its distance from ∂U is at least a fixed multiple of ϵ.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that $A \subset \partial U$ is compact and disjoint from $\partial U \setminus A$ and let $\epsilon \leq (32c)^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A)$. There exists $C, L > 1$ depending only on c and $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$ and there exists an L-bi-Lipschitz disk Δ such that $\partial\Delta \subset U$, $\overline{\Delta} \cap \partial U = A$, $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial\Delta) \leq 8\epsilon$ for all $z \in A$ and $C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} A \leq \operatorname{dist}(z', \partial U)$ for all $z' \in \partial\Delta$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, consider the thickening $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon(A)$. Then, $\partial\mathcal{T}_\epsilon(A)$ consists of at most N_0 components in U each being an L'-bi-Lipschitz circle with N_0 and L' depending only on c and $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$. The choice of ϵ implies that $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon(A) \cap \partial U = A$. Let D be the closure of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V$ where V is the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{T}_\epsilon(A)$. Observe that $\operatorname{dist}(x, A) \leq 8\epsilon$ for all $x \in \partial D$.

We claim that $\partial U \setminus A$ is in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$. Contrary to the claim, assume that there exists $x \in (\partial U \setminus A) \cap D$. Note that $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D) > \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A)$ as otherwise $\operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A)$. There exists $y \in \overline{U}$, exterior to D such that $\operatorname{dist}(y, A) \geq \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A)$. Let γ be a c-cigar curve joining x and y in U and let $z \in \partial D \cap \gamma$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A) &> 16c\epsilon \geq 2c \operatorname{dist}(z, A) \geq 2 \min\{|x - z|, |y - z|\} \\ &\geq 2 \min\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D), \operatorname{dist}(y, \partial D)\} \geq \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and the claim follows.

If D has only one component then set $\Delta = D$ and the proof is complete.

Let $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ be the components of D. Note that $n \leq N_0$ and that $\operatorname{dist}(D_i, D_j) \geq \delta_0 \operatorname{diam} A$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$ depending only on c and $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$. Set $D^{(0)} = D$ and $U^{(0)} = U \setminus \overline{D}$. By Remark 2.8, $U^{(0)}$ is c_0-uniform for some $c_0 > 1$ depending only on c and $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$.

Inductively, suppose that for some $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $D^{(i)}$ is a union of $n - i$ many L_i-bi-Lipschitz disks $D_1^{(i)}, \ldots, D_{n-i}^{(i)}$ such that $\partial D^{(i)} \subset U$, $\operatorname{dist}(\partial D^{(i)}, \partial U) \geq C_i \operatorname{diam} A$, $\operatorname{dist}(D_j^{(i)}, D_{j'}^{(i)}) \geq \delta_i \operatorname{diam} A$ and $U^{(i)} = U \setminus \overline{D^{(i)}}$ is c_i-uniform for some $C_i, \delta_i > 0$ and $c_i, L_i > 1$ depending only on c, $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$ and i. Let $x \in \partial D_1^{(i)}$,

$y \in \partial D_2^{(i)}$ and $\gamma \subset U^{(0)}$ be a simple c_i-cigar curve joining x_1 with x_2. Applying Lemma 5.1 with $E = \gamma$ and $\epsilon = (32c_i)^{-1}\min\{\delta_i, C_i\}$ we have an L'-bi-Lipschitz disk D' containing γ. Set $D^{(i+1)} = D^{(i)} \cup D'$ and $U^{(i+1)} = U \setminus \overline{D^{(i+1)}}$. Note that $D^{(i)}$ is a union of $n-i-1$ many L_{i+1}-bi-Lipschitz disks $D_1^{(i+1)}, \dots, D_{n-i-1}^{(i+1)}$ such that $\partial D^{(i+1)} \subset U$, $\operatorname{dist}(\partial D^{(i+1)}, \partial U) \geq d_{i+1} \operatorname{diam} A$, $\operatorname{dist}(D_j^{(i+1)}, D_{j'}^{(i+1)}) \geq \delta_{i+1} \operatorname{diam} A$ and $U^{(i+1)}$ is c_{i+1}-uniform for some $d_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1} > 0$ and $c_{i+1}, L_{i+1} > 1$ depending only on d_i, δ_i, c_i and L_i.

Set $\Delta = D^{(n-1)}$ and note that Δ satisfies the desired properties with constants depending only on c and $\epsilon(\operatorname{diam} A)^{-1}$. □

The bi-Lipschitz disk Δ constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.4 is denoted by $V(A, U, \epsilon)$.

Remark 5.5. *Notice that the construction of $V(A, U, \epsilon)$ involves creating curves in a neighborhood of A. Therefore, if A and A' are mutually disjoint compact subsets of ∂U such that $A \cap (\partial U \setminus A) = A' \cap (\partial U \setminus A') = \emptyset$ and $dist^*(A, A')$ is sufficiently big then $V(A, U, \epsilon) \cap V(A', U, \epsilon') = \emptyset$ for all $\epsilon \leq (32c)^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial U \setminus A)$ and $\epsilon' \leq (32c)^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(A', \partial U \setminus A')$.*

5.3. **A weak form of uniform disconnectedness.** In this section we consider a different separation than that of Section 5.2 that resembles uniform disconnectedness. Given $x \in \partial U$ and $r > 0$ we find an L-bi-Lipschitz disk Δ that contains x such that

(1) either $\operatorname{diam} \Delta$ is comparable to r and every point of $\partial\Delta$ has distance from ∂U at least a fixed multiple of r,
(2) or Δ contains a component of ∂U whose diameter is at least a fixed multiple of $\operatorname{diam} \Delta$ and every point of $\partial\Delta$ has distance from ∂U at least a fixed multiple of r.

Note that if only the first condition was satisfied for all x and r then ∂U would be uniformly disconnected.

Lemma 5.6. *There exists $C > 1$ depending only on c such that for every non-degenerate component A of ∂U and for every positive $r \leq C^{-2} \operatorname{diam} A$ there exists $A' \subset \partial U$ containing A and a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset U$ separating A' from $\partial U \setminus A'$ such that*

$$C^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(z, A) \leq r \leq C \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \text{ for all } z \in \gamma. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, A is a K-quasicircle with K depending only on c. Therefore, A satisfies the c_1-bounded turning property for some $c_1 > 1$ depending only on c. Set $c_2 = \max\{c, c_1\}$.

Fix now $r \leq (4c_2)^{-2} \operatorname{diam} A$. Find ordered points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on A such that $r/2 \leq |x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq r$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ with the convention $x_{n+1} = x_1$. For each $i = 1, \dots n$, join x_i to x_{i+1} with a c-cigar curve γ_i. On each γ_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $z_i \in \gamma_i$ be a point such that $\min\{|z_i - x_i|, |z_i - x_{i+1}|\} \geq |x_i - x_{i+1}|/2 \geq r/4$. Join each z_i to z_{i+1} with a c-cigar curve γ_i'. As before, we conventionally set $z_{n+1} = z_1$. Then, $|z_i - z_{i+1}| \leq 2c_2\epsilon$ and $\operatorname{diam} \gamma_i' \leq 2(c_2)^2 r$. The assumption on r implies that $\gamma_i \cup \gamma_i' \cup \gamma_{i+1} \cup A(x_i, x_{i+1})$ is contractible in the exterior of A. In particular, $\gamma' = \bigcup \gamma_i'$ separates A from ∞. Let $\gamma \subset \gamma'$ be a simple closed curve homotopic to γ in U.

For the proof of (5.1) fix $z \in \gamma$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $z \in \gamma_i'$. Then, $\operatorname{dist}(z, A) \le |z - x_i| \le \operatorname{diam} \gamma_i' + \operatorname{diam} \gamma_i \le (2c_2)^2 r$. On the other hand, by Remark 2.6, $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \ge (c_2)^{-1} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(z_i, \partial U), \operatorname{dist}(z_i, \partial U)\} \ge (c_2)^{-2} r/2$. Thus, the lemma holds with $C = (4c_2)^2$. □

Remark 5.7. *Note that* $\operatorname{diam} \gamma_i \cup \gamma_i' \cup \gamma_{i+1} \cup A(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le Cr$. *Therefore, if* $A_1 \subset A'$ *and* $A_1 \ne A$ *then* $\operatorname{diam} A_1 \le Cr \le C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} A$.

Given a non-degenerate component A of ∂U and $r < C^{-2} \operatorname{diam} A$ we set $N_1(A, r)$ to be a set $A' \subset \partial U$ as in the statement of Lemma 5.6. Moreover, if γ is a simple closed curve as in Lemma 5.6 associated to $A' = N_1(A, r)$, let $D_1(A, r)$ be the $L(r)$-Lipschitz disk containing γ as in Lemma 5.1 with $\epsilon = r/24$.

The next lemma provides us with a different kind of a neighborhood where the radius r is big compared to the diameters of the components of ∂U in a r-neighborhood of A.

Lemma 5.8. *Let* A *be a component of* ∂U, $x \in A$ *and* $r > 8 \operatorname{diam} A$ *is such that every component* A' *of* $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U$ *intersecting* $B^2(x, r)$ *satisfies* $\operatorname{diam} A \le c' r$ *for some* $c' > 1$. *Then, there exists* $C' > 1$ *depending only on* c, c' *and there exists a simple closed curve* γ *separating* A *from* ∞ *satisfying*

$$\frac{r}{2(C')^2} \le \frac{\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U)}{2C'} \le \frac{r}{2} \le \operatorname{diam} \gamma \le C' r \text{ for all } z \in \gamma. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. The proof follows closely that of Lemma 2.2 in [Mac99].

Let $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ be the components of $\partial U \setminus A$ intersecting $\partial B^2(x, r)$ such that $\operatorname{diam} A_i \ge (16c)^{-1} r$. By Lemma 3.3, n is bounded above by a constant depending only on c, c'. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ let γ_i be a simple closed curve as in Lemma 5.6 corresponding to A_i and $r_i = (2C)^{-1} \min\{\operatorname{diam} A_i, r\}$. Note that $(32Cc)^{-1} r \le r_i \le (2C)^{-1} c' r$. Let D_i be the Jordan domain enclosed by γ_i.

By the uniformity of U and the choice of r_i, there exists at least one nontrivial component of $\partial B^2(x, r) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$. Let V be the component of $B^2(x, r) \setminus \bigcup \overline{D_i}$ that contains x. Suppose $V \cap \partial B^2(x, r) = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \cdots$ where Γ_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, is an open subarc of $\partial B^2(x, r)$. If $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_i < (2c)^{-1} r$ then join the endpoints of Γ_i with a c_1-cigar curve.

Assume now that $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_i \ge (2c)^{-1} r$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_{n_i}$ be consecutive points on Γ_i such that y_1 and y_{n_i} are the endpoints of Γ_i and $(8c)^{-1} r \le |y_j - y_{j+1}| \le (4c)^{-1} r$. Set $w_1 = y_1$, $w_{n_i} = y_{n_i}$ and if $\operatorname{dist}(y_j, \partial U) > (32c)^{-1} r$ for some $j = 2, \dots, n_i - 1$ set $w_j = y_j$. Otherwise, take $z_j \in \partial U$ such that $|y_j - z_j| = \operatorname{dist}(y_j, \partial U)$. By the porosity of ∂U there exists $w_j \in U \cap \partial B^2(z_j, (16c)^{-1} r)$ satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 2.7. Then, $|w_j - w_{j+1}| \le 6(16c)^{-1} r$. For each $j = 2, \dots, n_i$ let γ_j be a c-cigar curve in U joining w_{j-1} with w_j and let $\Gamma_i' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} \gamma_j$. The distance estimates above imply that Γ_i' is homotopic to Γ_i in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$.

Replace Γ_i with Γ_i'. Applying the same procedure to all subarcs Γ_i we obtain a closed curve Γ that is homotopic to $\partial B^2(x, r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$. Take $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ to be a simple closed curve that is homotopic to $\partial B^2(x, r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$. □

For the rest of the paper, Lemma 5.8 is applied with $c' = C^2$ where C is as in Lemma 5.6. Given a component A of ∂U and $r > 8 \operatorname{diam} A$, if γ is as in Lemma 5.8 then we denote by $N_2(A, r)$ the subset of ∂U that is enclosed by γ. Moreover, applying Lemma 5.1 for $E = \gamma$ and $\epsilon = (3C')^{-1}$ (C' is as in Lemma 5.8), there

exists an L-bi-Lipschitz disk $D_2(A,r)$ that contains $N_2(A,r)$ with L depending only on c.

The two lemmas above combined yield the next proposition.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $x \in \partial U$, A_x be the component of ∂U that contains x and $r > 0$.*

1. *If $\overline{B}(x,r)$ intersects a non-degenerate component A of ∂U with diameter at least $C^2 r$ then x is contained in a set $N_1(A,r)$.*
2. *If $r \le 8 \operatorname{diam} A_x$ then x is contained in a set $N_1(A_x, \frac{1}{8C^2} r)$.*
3. *If $r > 8 \operatorname{diam} A_x$ and $\overline{B}(x,r)$ intersects only components of ∂U with diameter less than $C^2 r$ then x is contained in a set $N_2(A_x, r)$.*

Note that given a non-degenerate component A of ∂U, $N_1(A,r)$ is always defined when r is sufficiently small compared to $\operatorname{diam} A$. On the other hand, $N_2(A,r)$ is not defined for small r compared to $\operatorname{diam} A$ and even when r is large, it still may not be defined.

The properties of the sets $N_i(A,r)$ and $D_i(A,r)$ are summarized in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose that A is a component of ∂U and $r > 0$. There exists $c' > 1$ depending only on c and c'' depending only on c and r with the following properties.*

1. *Every component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_1(A,r)$ is c'-uniform. If ∂U is c-relatively connected then each component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_1(A,r)$ has c'-relatively connected boundary.*
2. *Every component of $D_1(A,r) \setminus N_1(A,r)$ is c''-uniform. If ∂U is c-relatively connected then each component of $D_1(A,r) \setminus N_1(A,r)$ has c'-relatively connected boundary.*
3. *If $N_2(A,r)$ is defined, then all the components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_2(A,r)$ are c'-uniform. If ∂U is c-relatively connected then each component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_2(A,r)$ has c'-relatively connected boundary.*
4. *If $N_2(A,r)$ is defined, then all the components of $D_2(A,r) \setminus N_2(A,r)$ are c'-uniform. If ∂U is c-relatively connected then each component of $D_2(A,r) \setminus N_2(A,r)$ has c'-relatively connected boundary.*

Proof. We show the first two claims. The proof of the other two is similar. As every quasidisk is uniform with relatively connected boundary, it is enough to show the first for the unbounded component and the second claim for the component of $D_1(A,r) \setminus N_1(A,r)$. whose boundary contains $\partial D_1(A,r)$.

Let U' be the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_1(A,r)$. To show uniformity of U', let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_1(A,r)$ and fix some $D_1(A,r)$. Note that if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_1(A,r)$ then uniformity follows from the fact that $D_1(A,r)$ is a K'-quasidisk for some K' depending only on c. If $x \in \overline{D_1(A,r)}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_1(A,r)$ then join x to a point $z \in \partial D_1(A,r)$ with a c-cigar curve $\gamma_1 \subset D_1(A,r)$ using uniformity of U and then z to y with a c'-cigar curve $\gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_1(A,r)$ using uniformity of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_1(A,r)$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(z, N_1(A,r)) > d|x-z|$ for some depending only on c, $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ is c''-cigar for some c'' depending only on c. Finally, if $x, y \in \overline{D_1(A,r)}$ then $x, y \in U$ and we use uniformity of U.

Suppose that ∂U is c-relatively connected. Let $x \in \partial U'$, $R > 0$ and assume that $\overline{B}^2(x,R) \cap \partial U' \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial U' \setminus \overline{B}^2(x,R) \neq \emptyset$. The second assumptiom implies that $R < 2 \operatorname{diam} A$. If $R \le 8Cr$ then $\overline{B}^2(x, (8C^2)^{-1} R) \cap \partial U' = \overline{B}^2(x, (8C^2)^{-1} R) \cap$

∂U and relative connectedness is satisfied with $c' = 8C^2c$. Suppose now that $8rC < R < 2\operatorname{diam} A$. Then, if $\overline{B}^2(x, R/8)$ intersects A we have $A \setminus \overline{B}^2(x, R/8) \neq \emptyset$ and relative connectedness is satisfied with $c' = 8$.

Let now U'' be the bounded domain with boundary $\partial D_1(A, r) \cup N_1(A, r)$. The uniformity of U'' follows from Remark 2.8. If ∂U is relatively connected, for the relative connectedness of $\partial U''$ we work as above. □

5.4. **Total separation of ∂U.** Fix $\epsilon \in (0, \operatorname{diam} \partial U)$. For each point $x \in \partial U$ let $D_{i_x}(A_x, r_x)$ be as in Section 5.3 where $i_x \in \{1, 2\}$, $r_x \in \{80c\epsilon, 10c\epsilon\}$ and A_x is a component of ∂U. Note that $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_x, \partial U) \geq 10\epsilon$.

Define $G = \bigcup_{x\in\partial U} \gamma_x$. Then, $\operatorname{dist}(G, \partial U) \geq 10\epsilon$. The boundary of $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon(G)$ is a finite disjoint union of polygonal Jordan curves each of which lies in $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon$ and is at least distance ϵ from ∂U. Define

$$\mathscr{G} = \{\overline{D} \colon D \text{ is a bounded Jordan domain whose boundary is a component of } \mathcal{T}_\epsilon(G)\}.$$

Note that two elements of $\mathscr{G}$ are either disjoint or one is contained in the other. An element D of $\mathscr{G}$ is called *minimal* if for all $D' \in \mathscr{G}$, $D' \subset D$ implies $D' = D$.

For each component A of ∂U let D_A be the minimal element of $\mathscr{G}$ that contains A and D'_A be the *maximal element* in $\{D_A \colon A \text{ is a component of } \partial U\}$ that contains A, that is, if $D'_A \subset D_{A'}$ then $D_{A'} = D'_A$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be the elements of the set $\{D'_A : A \text{ is a component of } \partial U\}$ and let $A_i = \partial U \cap D_i$. Note the following.

(1) $n \leq \epsilon^{-2}(\operatorname{diam} \partial U)^2$.
(2) Each D_i has diameter at least ϵ and by the relative connectedness of ∂U, each A_i has size at least ϵ/M for some $M > 1$ depending only on c.
(3) For all $i \neq j$, $\operatorname{dist}(D_i, D_j) \geq \epsilon$.
(4) $\operatorname{diam}(U \setminus \mathcal{D}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{D_i} \geq \operatorname{diam} \partial U$.

The size of each D_i can be estimated from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\epsilon \in (0, \operatorname{diam} \partial U)$ and $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be as above.*

(1) For all $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon + \sup_A \operatorname{diam} A) \leq \operatorname{diam} D_i \leq 80c^2(\epsilon + \sup_A \operatorname{diam} A)$$

where the supremum is taken over all components A of A_i.

(2) Each D_i is an L-bi-Lipschitz disk for some L depending only on c and $\epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{diam} D_i$.

Proof. The lower bound of the first claim follows from the fact that for each $z \in \partial D_i$, $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U) \geq \epsilon$. For the upper bound note that for each component A of A_i we have $\operatorname{diam} D_i \leq \operatorname{diam} D_{i_A}(A, r_A) \leq c((80c)\epsilon + \operatorname{diam} A)$.

The second claim follows from the first claim and Lemma 5.1. □

6. Whitney-type decompositions around quasidisks

Let $D, D' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be Jordan domains, A, A' be unions of disjoint closed quasidisks in D, D' respectively and A_0, A'_0 be closed quasidisks contained in A, A' respectively. Let also $f : A \to A'$ be an η-quasisymmetric homeomorphism with $f(A_0) = A'_0$. For the rest we assume that there exist $c > 1$ and $C > 1$ with the following properties.

(I) A and A' are compact with c-uniform complement.

(II) ∂A_0 and $\partial A_0'$ are c-bounded turning with

$$(8c)^3 \leq \operatorname{diam} A_0 \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad C^{-1} \leq \operatorname{diam} A_0 \leq C.$$

(III) For all $z \in \partial D$ and all $z' \in \partial D'$

$$C^{-1} \leq \operatorname{dist}(z, A) \leq \operatorname{dist}(z, A_0) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C^{-1} \leq \operatorname{dist}(z', A') \leq \operatorname{dist}(z', A_0') \leq 1.$$

For some $L > 1$ and $c_1 > 1$ depending only on c, C and η, we construct in this section two families $\mathscr{Q}, \mathscr{Q}'$ of L-bi-Lipschitz disks with the following properties.

(1) The elements of $\mathscr{Q}$ are mutually disjoint and $D \subset \bigcup_{Q \in \mathscr{Q}} \overline{Q}$. Similarly for $\mathscr{Q}'$.
(2) For all $Q \in \mathscr{Q}$, $c_1^{-1} \operatorname{diam} Q \leq \operatorname{dist}(Q, A) \leq c_1 \operatorname{diam} Q$. Similarly for $\mathscr{Q}'$.
(3) For each $Q \in \mathscr{Q}$, there are at most c_1 elements in $\mathscr{Q}$ whose boundary intersects that of Q. Moreover, if $\Gamma = \partial Q_1 \cap \partial Q_2 \neq \emptyset$ for $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathscr{Q}$ then Γ is an L-bi-Lipschitz arc and $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma \geq c_1^{-1} \max\{\operatorname{diam} Q_1, \operatorname{diam} Q_2\}$. Similarly for $\mathscr{Q}'$.
(4) There exists homeomorphism $g : \overline{D} \to \overline{D'}$ such that $f|\partial A = g|\partial A$ and for each $Q \in \mathscr{Q}$, $g(Q) \in \mathscr{Q}'$.

The construction of the two decompositions is very similar to that of Carleson [**?**] for the quasisymmetric embedding of $\mathbb{S}^1$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$ and of Tukia [Tuk80] for the quasisymmetric embedding of $\mathbb{S}^1$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$. However, the main novelty in our setting is that one should make sure that the boundaries of Whitney squares properly avoid all the components of $A \setminus A_0'$ around A_0'.

To simplify the exposition, we use the following notation for the rest of Section 6. For two positive quantities a, b we write $a \lesssim b$ if there exists constant C_0 depending at most on c, C and η such that $a \leq C_0 b$. We write $a \simeq b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$.

6.1. **Decomposition around the preimage.** Fix an orientation on ∂A_0. Through f, an orientation on $\partial A_0'$ is also defined. Given a set of points $\{p_1, \dots p_n\} \subset \partial A_0$ we say that p_i and p_j are *neighbors in the set* $\{p_1, \dots p_n\}$ if one of the two subarcs of $\partial A_0 \setminus \{p_i, p_j\}$ contains no point from $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. Such a subarc is denoted by $\partial A_0(p_i, p_j)$. Moreover, we say that p_i *is on the right of* p_j *in the set* $\{p_1, \dots p_n\}$ if p_i and p_j are neighbors and under the orientation of ∂A_0, p_j and p_i are the starting and ending, respectively, points of $\partial A_0(p_i, p_j)$. In opposite case, we say that p_i *is on the left of* p_j.

Since ∂A_0 is c-bounded turning, by assumption (III) we have that $C^{-1} \leq \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial D) \leq 3c \leq (32c^2)^{-1} \operatorname{diam} A_0$ for all $w \in A_0$ [VW16, Lemma 3.4].

Set $D_1 = D$ and for each $m = 2, 3, \dots$ use Lemma 5.6 on A with $\epsilon_m = (2c)^{-2m} C^{-1}$ and obtain a bi-Lipschitz disk D_m. Let $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $2^{-l_1} \leq 2^{-6} C^{-1}$ and let Δ_1 be the bi-Lipschitz disk containing D with $\epsilon = 2^{-l_1} C^{-1}$. Note that $\Delta_1 \cap A = D \cap A$. The integer l_1 will be chosen in Section 7.1 towards the proof of Theorem 1.1 but in any case, it is bounded below by a constant depending only on c and η.

For each $m = 2, 3, \dots$ let $l_m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $2^{-l_m} \leq \frac{1}{16}(2c)^{-2m-1} C^{-1}$ and Δ_m be as in Lemma 5.1 where $E = \partial D_m$ and $\epsilon = 2^{-l_m}$.

Choose points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_0$ following the orientation of ∂A_0 such that

$$16c^3 \operatorname{diam} A_0 \leq |x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq 32c^3$$

with the convention $x_{n+1} = x_1$. Note that $k \leq N_0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on c and C.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let $\hat{y}_i \in \partial\Delta_1$ be a point closest to x_i and join x_i to $\hat{y}_i$ with a c-cigar curve σ_i. For each σ_i we construct a broken line γ_i as follows. For each $z \in \gamma_i$ let $\Sigma(z)$ be the union of all squares in $\Sigma_{2^{-l(z)}}$ that contain z where $l(z)$ is the smallest integer such that $l(z) \geq l_1$ and $2^{-l(z)} \leq \frac{1}{6}\operatorname{dist}(z, A)$. Let γ_i be a subarc in the boundary of $\bigcup_{z\in\sigma_i} \Sigma(z)$ that connects x_i with Δ_1 and is entirely contained (except for its endpoints) in $\Delta_1 \setminus A_0$. Denote by y_i the endpoint of γ_i which is on Δ_1.

Next, for each $k \in \{2, 3, \cdots\}$, we modify γ_i close to its intersection points with $\partial\Delta_k$. We start with Δ_2.

Let T_2 be the union of all squares in $\Sigma_{2^{-l_2-2}}$ that intersect with Δ_2. Note that ∂T_2 consists of exactly two Jordan curves; one contained in Δ_2, the other contained in $\Delta_1 \setminus \overline{\Delta_2}$. Let p_i and q_i be the points of $\gamma_i \cap \partial T_2$ such that the part of γ_i joining x_i with p_i is entirely in Δ_2 while the part of γ_i joining y_i with q_i is entirely in $\Delta_1 \setminus \overline{\Delta_2}$. Let $\hat{q}_i$ be the *flat vertex* (i.e. $\hat{q}_i$ is the common vertex of two co-linear edges) on the component of ∂T_2 containing q_i that is closest to p_i and let τ_1 be the shorter in diameter subarc of T_2 joining q_i with $\hat{q}_i$. Let t_i be the flat vertex of $\partial\Delta_1$ closest to $\hat{q}_i$ and τ_2 be the line segment $[t_i, \hat{q}_i]$. Let $\hat{p}_i$ be the flat vertex on the component of ∂T_2 containing p_i that is closest to t_i and let τ_3 be the line segment $[t_i, \hat{p}_i]$ Finally, let τ_4 be the shorter in diameter subarc of T_2 joining q_i with $\hat{q}_i$. Replace $\gamma_i(\hat{p}_i, q_i)$ with $\bigcup_i \tau_i$ and note that γ_i intersects $\partial\Delta_2$ Note that the two curves γ_i and $\partial\Delta_i$ intersect orthogonally and their intersection is only one point t_i which we denote for the rest by y_{i1}.

Similarly, we modify γ_i close to its intersection points with $\partial\Delta_k$. We denote by y_{i1^k} the unique intersection point of $\partial\Delta_k$ and γ_i.

We proceed inductively. Assume that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have defined points $x_w \in \partial A_0$, curves γ_w and points y_{w1^l} where $w \in \mathbb{N}^m$ is a finite word formed from m letters in $\mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We denote by $|w|$ the number of letters the word w has. Conventionally, $|\emptyset| = 0$.

Fix $w, u \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that x_w and x_u have been defined and in the collection $\{x_v : |v| = m\}$, x_w is on the left of x_u. In $\partial A_0(x_w, x_u)$ choose points x_{wi} with $i = 1, \dots, N_w + 1$ following the orientation of ∂A_0 such that $x_{w1} = x_w$, $x_{w(N_{wi}+1)} = x_u$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, N_{wi}$

$$4c(2c)^{3-2m}C^{-1} \leq |x_{wi} - x_{w(i+1)}| \leq 8c(2c)^{3-2m}C^{-1}.$$

Note that $N_w \leq N_0$ where N_0 depends only on c. Without loss of generality, we assume for the rest that $N = N_0$.

For $i =\in \{2, \dots, N_{wi}\}$ and $m \geq |w| + 2$, let $\hat{y}_{wi}$ be a point of $\partial\Delta_{|w|+1}$ closest to x_{wi} and let σ_{wi} be a c-cigar curve joining x_{wi} with $\hat{y}_{wi}$. Construct γ_{wi} as in Step 1 and let y_{wi} be the point on $\partial\Delta_{|w|+1}$ such that the part of γ_{wi} connecting x_{wi} with y_{wi} is entirely in $\Delta_{|w|+1}$. As in Step 1, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we modify γ_{wi} close to its intersection points with $\partial\Delta_{|w|+1+k}$ and denote with y_{wi1^k} the unique intersection point of γ_{wi} and $\partial\Delta_{|w|+1+k}$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of finite words w formed by letters $\{1, \dots, N\}$ for which x_w has been defined. Let also $\mathcal{W}_k$ be the set of words $w \in \mathcal{W}$ whose length is k.

The number $(8c)^3$ in assumption (II) has been chosen so that for all $w, u \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_w, \gamma_u) \gtrsim \min\{\operatorname{diam}\gamma_w, \gamma_u\}.$$

Suppose that $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and let x_u be on the left of x_w in the collection $\{x_v : |v| = |w|\}$. Define $\mathcal{Q}_w$ to be the Jordan domain bounded by γ_w, γ_u, $\Delta_{|w|}$ and

$\Delta_{|w|+1}$. Note that for each $\mathcal{Q}_w$ there exists $l_w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\partial\mathcal{Q}_w$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-l_w}}$ and $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}_w \simeq 2^{-l_w}$. Moreover, the distance of each $\mathcal{Q}_w$ from A is comparable to its distance from x_w. We collect all these observations in the following remark.

Remark 6.1. *For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$,*

(1) $\mathcal{Q}_w$ defined as above is an L_1-bi-Lipschitz disk with $L_1 \simeq 1$,
(2) $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{Q}_w, x_w) \simeq \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{Q}_w, \partial U) \simeq \operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}_w$.

If $A \cap \mathcal{Q}_w \neq \emptyset$ set $A_w = A \cap \mathcal{Q}_w$. Otherwise, let $z_w \in \mathcal{Q}_w$ be a point such that $\operatorname{dist}(z_w, \partial\mathcal{Q}_w) \geq \frac{1}{L}\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}_w$ and set $A_w = \overline{B}^2(z_w, \frac{1}{2L}\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}_w)$.

Remark 6.2. *If A is c-uniformly perfect, then $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}_w \lesssim \operatorname{diam}A_w$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.*

6.2. **Decomposition around the image.** We construct a decomposition $\mathscr{Q}' = \{\mathcal{Q}'_w\}$ around A'_0 that is combinatorially equivalent to $\mathscr{Q} = \{\mathcal{Q}_w\}$.

As with D, we first define Δ'_1. Let $\epsilon = 2^{-l'_1-3}$, $l'_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Δ'_1 be as in Lemma 5.1 with $E = \overline{D}$. As with the integer l_1, the number l'_1 can be chosen small enough in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let $x'_w = f(x_w)$. The notion of neighbor points follows from the orientation of $\partial A'_0$ induced by f. For the rest, two words $w, u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $|w| = |u|$ are called *neighbors* if x'_w and x'_u are neighbors. Similarly, if $w, u \in \mathcal{W}_k$ we say that w is at the left (resp. right) of u if x_w is at the left (resp. right) of u.

For those $w \in \mathcal{W}$ that $A_w \subset A$ let $A'_w = f(A_w)$. Next, we define A'_w in the case $A_w \cap A = \emptyset$. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_0 \simeq 1$ such that

$$B^2(x'_w, 2|x'_w - x'_{w2^{n_0}}|) \subset D' \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A'$ is c-uniform, A' is porous and, for each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ there exists $z'_w \in U$ such that $|x'_w - z'_w| = |x'_w - x'_{w2^{n_0}}|$ and $B_w = B^2(z'_w, c^{-1}|x'_w - x'_{w2^{n_0}}|) \subset U$. Note that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_0 \simeq 1$ such that if $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $w_1, \cdots, w_k \in \mathcal{W}$ are such that $B_w \cap B_{w_i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ then $k \leq m_0$. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ there exist m_0 balls $B^1_w, \cdots, B^{m_0}_w$ contained in B_w such that for all $i \neq j$, $\operatorname{dist}(B^i_w, B^j_w) \geq (4m_0)^{-1}\operatorname{diam}B_w$, $\operatorname{dist}(B^i_w, \partial B_w) \geq (4m_0)^{-1}\operatorname{diam}B_w$ and $\operatorname{diam}B^i_w \geq (2m_0)^{-1}\operatorname{diam}B_w$. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let $i_w \in \{1, \ldots, m_0\}$ so that

$$\operatorname{dist}(B^{i_w}_w, B^{i_u}_u) \geq (4m_0)^{-1}\max\{\operatorname{diam}B_w, \operatorname{diam}B_u\}.$$

If $A_w \cap A = \emptyset$, set $A'_w = B^{i_w}_w$.

We show in the next lemma, that if we remove the extra sets A'_w from $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A'$ we still get a uniform domain.

Lemma 6.3. *There exists $c' \simeq 1$ such that $Y = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A'_0 \setminus \bigcup_{w\in\mathcal{W}} A'_w$ is c'-uniform.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in Y$. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ with $A'_w \cap A' = \emptyset$ define $V_w = \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon_w}(A'_w)$ with $\epsilon_w = \frac{1}{20}\operatorname{dist}(A'_w, \partial Y \setminus A'_w)$. If both x and y are contained in some V_w then the claim follows as $V_w \setminus A'_w$ is c_1-uniform. If x is contained in some V_w and $y \notin V_w$ then let $x' \in \partial V_w$ be the point of ∂V_w closest to x and we replace x with x'.

Thus, we may assume that both x and y are outside of each V_w as above. Let γ be a c-cigar arc joining x with y. Suppose that γ does not intersect any V_w. Let $z \in \gamma$ and A'_w be such that $\operatorname{dist}(z, A'_w) \leq \operatorname{dist}(z, A'_{w'})$ for all $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}$. If $A'_w \subset A'$ then $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial Y) = \operatorname{dist}(z, A') \geq c^{-1}\min\{|x - z|, |y - z|\}$. If $A'_w \cap A' = \emptyset$ then there exists δ depending only on c and η such that $\operatorname{dist}(z, A'_w) \geq \delta\operatorname{dist}(z, A')$. In either case, γ is c_2-cigar in V for some $c_2 \simeq 1$.

Suppose now that γ intersects some V_w. Let $x', y' \in \gamma \cap \partial V_w$ be such that $\gamma(x, x')$ and $\gamma(y, y')$ are entirely outside of V_w. As $\gamma(x', y')$ is c-quasiconvex, $\operatorname{dist}(z, A') \leq c(\operatorname{dist}(x', A') + |x' - y'|)$ for all $z \in \gamma(x', y')$. Replace $\gamma(x', y')$ with the shortest arc σ of ∂V_w joining x' and y' and note that $\ell(\sigma) \leq \pi\ell(\gamma(x', y'))$. Moreover, as before, $\operatorname{dist}(x', \partial Y) \geq \delta \operatorname{dist}(x', A')$ and similarly for y'. Fix $z \in \sigma$. Assuming $|z - x| \leq |z - y|$, we have $|x' - x| \leq M|x' - y|$ and $|x - z'| \leq M(|z' - x'| + |x' - x|)$ for some M depending only on c. Hence, for some δ_1 depending only on c and η

$$\operatorname{dist}(z, A') = \operatorname{dist}(x', A'_w) \geq \delta_1(\operatorname{dist}(x', A') + |z' - x'|) \geq \delta_1(cM)^{-1}|z - x|.$$

Similarly we modify the new curve γ at its intersections with other $V_{w'}$. □

For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let V'_w be the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{T}_{2^{-n_w}}(A'_w)$ where n_w is the smallest integer such that $2^{-n_w} \leq (32c')^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(A'_w, \partial Y \cup \partial\Delta'_1)$. Set $V_w = \overline{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V'_w}$. Working as in Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 6.3 the following result can be easily verified.

Lemma 6.4. *There exists $C_0 > 1$ depending only on c and η satisfying the following properties.*

1. *Each V_w is a disjoint union of at most C_0 many C_0-bi-Lipschitz disks of mutual distances and diameters bounded below by $(C_0)^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(A'_w, \partial Y)$;*
2. *For any $w, u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $w \neq u$, $\operatorname{dist}(V_w, V_u) \geq \frac{1}{C_0} \max\{\operatorname{diam} A'_w, \operatorname{diam} A'_u\}$. In particular, $V_w \cap V_u = \emptyset$.*
3. *$V = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A_0 \setminus \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} V_w$ is C_0-uniform.*

To reduce the use of constants, we assume for the rest that V is c-uniform.

6.2.1. *Domes.* For each $x, y \in \partial A'_0$ fix a c-cigar curve $\tau'_{x,y}$ that joins x with y. As in Section 6.1, for each $z \in \tau'_{x,y}$ let $\Sigma(z)$ be the union of all squares in $\Sigma_{2^{-l(z)}}$ that contain z where $l(z)$ is the smallest integer such that $2^{-l(z)} \leq (16c^2)^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U'_2)$. Let $\tau_{x,y}$ be an arc on the boundary of $\bigcup_{z \in \tau'_{x,y}} \Sigma(z)$ that connects x with y such that $\tau'_{x,y} \setminus \{x, y\}$ is contained in the Jordan domain bounded by $\partial A'_0$ and $\tau_{x,y}$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Suppose that w_1, w_2 are the neighbors of $w1^k$ and define $R^{(k)}_w$ to be the Jordan domain bounded by $\tau_{x'_{w_1}, x'_{w_2}}$ and ∂A_0.

Note that for each $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$, $R^{(k+l)}_w = R^{(k)}_{w1^l}$. Moreover, each $\partial R^{(k)}_w$ is a c'-cigar curve for some $c' \simeq 1$. Thus, for each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a point $p^{(k)}_w \in \partial R^{(k)}_w$ which is a midpoint of an edge of $\partial R^{(k)}_w$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(p^{(k)}_w, \partial V) \gtrsim \operatorname{diam} R^{(k)}_w$. Subdividing each edge of $\partial R^{(k)}_w$ into 2 edges we may assume that $p^{(k)}_w$ is a flat vertex of $\partial R^{(k)}_w$. Recall that z is a flat vertex of a polygon P if the two edges of P with z as their common point are co-linear.

The following remark follows immediately from the quasisymmetry of f and uniformity of V.

Lemma 6.5. *Given a small positive number $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$, there exists k_0 depending only on c, C, η and δ_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$ then, for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, the following hold.*

1. *$\operatorname{diam} R^{(k)}_w \leq \delta_0$ and $x'_w \in \partial R^{(k)}_w$.*
2. *If $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $|u| = |w|$ then $\operatorname{dist}(R^{(k)}_w, R^{(k)}_u) \geq (1 - \delta_0)|x'_w - x'_u|$.*
3. *If $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $|u| < |w|$ then $\operatorname{dist}(R^{(k)}_w, V_u) \geq (1 - \delta_0) \operatorname{dist}(x'_w, V_u)$.*
4. *If $uv \in \mathcal{W}$, $|u| = |w|$ and u is not a neighbor of w then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(R^{(k)}_w, V_{uv}) \geq (1 - \delta_0) \operatorname{dist}(x'_w, V_{uv}).$$

(5) If $l \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\operatorname{diam} R_w^{(k+l)} \leq \delta_0 \operatorname{diam} R_w^{(k)}$.
(6) If $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $|u| \geq |w| + k$, then

$$\operatorname{diam} R_w^{(l)} \leq \delta_0 \operatorname{dist}(p_w^{(l)}, R_u^{(l)}).$$

We specify δ_0 in Section 6.2.2, Section 6.2.3 and Section 6.2.4. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ set $R_w = R_w^{(k_0)}$ and $p_w = p_w^{(k)}$. We call the domain R_w *dome* and the point p_w, the *peak of* R_w. To simplify the notation, we write $\tau_w = \tau_{x'_{w_1}, x'_{w_2}}$ where x'_{w_1} (resp. x'_{w_2}) is the neighbor of $x_{w1^{k_0}}$ at its left (resp. right) in $\mathcal{W}_{|w|+k_0}$. We call the points x'_{w_1} and x'_{w_2} the left and right, respectively, endpoints of τ_w.

Before proceeding to the construction of $\mathscr{Q}'$, we make one final modification to the domes R_w. Given a word $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we write $\partial R_w = \tau_w \cup \partial A'_0(x'_{w_1}, x'_{w_2})$ where w_1, w_2 are the neighbors of $w1^{k_0}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{|w|+k_0}$. Similarly, given $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u = w1^{lk_0}$, we have $R_u = \tau_u \cup \partial A'_0(x'_{u_1}, x'_{u_2})$. Note first that τ_w intersects τ_u. By modifying τ_w, as in Section 6.1, we may assume that the two polygonal arcs τ_w and τ_u intersect only at p_u.

6.2.2. *Construction of $\mathscr{Q}'$: Step 0.* Given $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ we define a simple polygonal path $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}$ that joins R_i with R_{i+1} as follows. Apply Lemma 5.6 on A'_0 with

$$r = (cC)^{-2} \min_{i=1,\ldots,N} \operatorname{dist}(p_i, A'_0)$$

and obtain a Jordan domain $\hat{D}_1$ containing A'_0. Applying Lemma 5.1 on $\hat{D}_1$ with $\epsilon = 2^{-l(1)}$, where $l(1)$ is the smallest positive integer such that

$$2^{-l(1)} \leq \frac{1}{16} \operatorname{dist}(\partial \hat{D}_1, \partial V \cup \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}),$$

we obtain a bi-Lipschitz disk D'_1 containing $\hat{D}_1$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, N$, there exists a subarc $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}$ of $\partial D'_1$ such that

(1) except of its endpoints, $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}$ is in $\Delta_1 \setminus \bigcup R_i$;
(2) one of its endpoints is on τ_i between the peak of R_i and the right endpoint of τ_i and the other endpoint is on τ_{i+1} between the peak of R_{i+1} and the left endpoint of τ_{i+1}.

Choosing δ_0 sufficiently small in Lemma 6.5, we may assume that $\bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i$ is contained in the open annulus $\tilde{T}_0$ whose boundary is $\partial\Delta'_0$ and a polygonal Jordan curve which is the union of the curves $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}$ and subarcs of τ_i.

Note that $\tilde{T}_0$ contains V_i and at most C_1 components of $\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}, |w| \geq 2} V_w$ for some $C_1 > 1$ depending only on c, C and η. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and suppose that $H_1 \ldots, H_m$ are components of $\tilde{T}_0 \cap \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}, |w| \geq 2} V_w$. There exists $m_1 > m'_1 + 4$, $m_1 \simeq 1$, and polygonal curves $s_j \subset \mathcal{G}_{2^{-m_1}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$ joining H_j with $\Delta'_1 \setminus \tilde{T}_0$ such that,

(1) except for its endpoints, each s_j is entirely in $\tilde{T}_0$;
(2) $\operatorname{dist}(s_j, \partial \tilde{T}_0 \setminus \tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}) \gtrsim 1$ and $\operatorname{dist}(s_j, s_{j'}) \gtrsim 1$ when $j \neq j'$;
(3) if H_j is a component of V_w and x'_w is on $\partial A'_0 \cap \partial R_i$ then s_j joins V_j with a point on τ_i other than y_i;
(4) if H_j is a component of V_w and x'_w is on $\partial A'_0$ between the right endpoint of τ_i and the left endpoint of τ_{i+1} then s_j joins V_j with a point on $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,i+1}$.

Let $T_0 = \tilde{T}_0 \setminus \mathcal{T}_{2^{-m_1}}(\bigcup_j H_j \cup \bigcup_j s_j)$. Given $i = 1, \dots, N$, if $H_{j_1}, \dots, H_{j_l}$ are all the components of $\bigcup_{w\in\mathcal{W},|w|\geq 2} V_w \cap \mathcal{A}$ connected to R_i as above then set $\mathcal{R}_i = R_i \cup \mathcal{T}_{2^{-m_1}}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{l}(H_{j_n} \cup s_{j_n}))$.

Subdividing T_0 we obtain Jordan domains $\mathcal{Q}'_i$ such that

(1) $\mathcal{Q}'_i$ have mutually disjoint interiors and their union is all of T_0,
(2) each $\partial\mathcal{Q}'_i$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(0)}}$ for some positive integer $m(0) \simeq 1$,
(3) $\{\mathcal{Q}'_i\} \cup \{p_i\}$ are combinatorially equivalent to $\{\mathcal{Q}_i\} \cup \{y_{i1}\}$, that is, there exists a homeomorphism $g_0 : \Delta_0 \to \Delta'_0$ extending f such that $g_0(\mathcal{Q}_i) = \mathcal{Q}'_i$ and $g_0(y_{i1}) = p_i$.

Note that

$$\Delta'_0 = A'_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Q}'_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{R}_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} T_{i,i+1}$$

where $T_{i,i+1}$ is a Jordan domain bounded by a subarc of $\partial A'_0$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_i$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_{i+1}$ and a simple polygonal arc $\sigma_{i,i+1}$.

For the induction step, we consider the following two possible cases.

6.2.3. *Construction of $\mathscr{Q}'$: Decomposition in $\mathcal{R}_w$.* Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{lk_0+1}$ with l being a nonnegative integer. Let also w_1 and w_2 be the left and right, respectively, endpoints of τ_w.

We work as in Section 6.2.2 to obtain a polygonal path $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1,w1^{k_0}}$ joining R_{w_1} and $R_{w1^{k_0}}$. Let $r = (cC)^{-2}\min\{\operatorname{dist}(p_{w_1}, A'_0), \operatorname{dist}(p_{w1^{k_0}}, A'_0)\}$, let $\hat{D}$ be the domain obtained by Lemma 5.6 applied on A_0, r and let D be the bi-Lipschitz disk obtained by Lemma 5.1 for $\hat{D}$ and $\epsilon = 2^{-l}$ where l is the smallest integer such that

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{16}\operatorname{dist}(\partial\hat{D}, (R_w \cap \partial V) \cup \{p_{w_1}, p_{w1^{k_0}}, p_{w_2}\}.$$

Let now $\hat{\sigma}$ be a subarc of $\partial\hat{D}$ such that its first endpoint is on τ_{w_1} between its peak and its right endpoint, and its second endpoint is on $\tau_{w1^{k_0}}$ between its peak and its left endpoint. Modifying the curve on its intersection points with τ_w we may assume that the curve is contained in R_w, Similarly we obtain a curve $\tilde{\sigma}_{w,w_2}$ that does not intersect with $\tilde{\sigma}_{w,w_1}$.

Choosing δ_0 sufficiently small in Lemma 6.5, we may assume that if $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $|u| \leq |w| + k_0$ and $V_u \subset \mathcal{R}_w$ then V_u is contained in the Jordan domain Q_w bounded by a subarc of R_{w_1}, a subarc of R_{w_2}, a subarc of $\mathcal{R}_w$, $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1,w1^{k_0}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w1^{k_0},w_2}$.

As in Section 6.2.2, we may subdivide Q_w into Jordan domains $\mathcal{Q}'_u$. In particular, Q_{w_1} is a Jordan domain with polygonal boundary in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w_1)}}$ where $m(w_1)$ is an integer such that $2^{m(w_1)} \simeq \operatorname{diam}\mathsf{Q}_{w_1}$. Moreover, Q_{w_i} contains at most $N_1 \simeq 1$ components of ∂V. Therefore, there exists $m_1 \simeq 1$ such that Q_{w_1} can be subdivided to Jordan domains $\mathcal{Q}'_u$ with polygonal boundary in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w_1)-m_1}}$ where $k_0 l + 1 < |u| \leq k_0(l+1)+1$, x'_u is between $x_{w'_1}$ and x'_{w_2} and

(1) $\mathcal{Q}'_u$ have mutually disjoint interiors and their union is all of Q_w,
(2) each $\partial\mathcal{Q}'_u$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w)}}$ for some positive integer $m(w)$ with $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}'_u \simeq 2^{-m(w)}$,
(3) $\{\mathcal{Q}'_u\} \cup \{p_u\}$ are combinatorially equivalent to $\{\mathcal{Q}_u\} \cup \{y_{u1}\}$, that is, there exists a homeomorphism $g_w : \Delta_0 \to \Delta'_0$ extending f such that $g_w(\mathcal{Q}_u) = \mathcal{Q}'_u$ and $g_w(y_{u1}) = p_u$.

Note that

$$\mathcal{R}_w \setminus \mathcal{R}_{w_1} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{w1^{k_0}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{w_2} = T_{w_1,w1^{k_0}} \cup T_{w1^{k_0},w_2} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{lk_0+1<|u|\le(l+1)k_0+10 \\ x'_u \text{ between } x'_{w_1},x'_{w_2}}} \mathcal{Q}'_u$$

where $T_{w_1,w1^{k_0}}$ is a Jordan domain bounded by a subarc of $\partial A'_0$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_{w_1}$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_{w1^{k_0}}$ and a simple polygonal arc $\sigma_{w_1,w1^{k_0}}$. The domain $T_{w1^{k_0},w_2}$ is defined in similar fashion.

6.2.4. *Construction of $\mathcal{Q}'$: Decomposition in T_{w_1,w_2}.* Let l be a nonnegative integer and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{lk_0+1}$ be neighbors in $\mathcal{W}_{jk_0+1}$ so that T_{w_1,w_2}, $\mathcal{R}_{w_1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{w_2}$ are already defined by the previous steps. The construction in this case is similar to that of Section 6.2.3 and we only sketch the steps. Consider words $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathcal{W}_{(l+1)k_0+1}$ such that u_1 is at the right of $w_1 1^{k_0}$, u_i is at the left of u_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and u_k is at the left of $w_2 1^{k_0}$. Assuming that δ_0 is small enough, each dome R_{u_i} is contained in T_{w_1,w_2} and $\operatorname{diam} R_{u_i} \le \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{dist}(R_{u_i}, \partial T_{w_1,w_2})$ when $i = 2, \dots, k-1$. As in Section 6.2.2 and Section 6.2.3 we join each R_{u_i} with $R_{u_{i+1}}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, with polygonal curves $\tilde{\sigma}_{u_i,u_{i+1}}$ that, except for their points, do not intersect ∂V, $\partial T_{w_1,w_2}$, ∂R_{u_j} or each other.

Let now $\tilde{T}_{w_1,w_2}$ be the Jordan domain bounded by σ_{w_1,w_2}, $\partial\mathcal{R}_{w_1}$, $\partial\mathcal{R}_{w_1}$, curves $\tilde{\sigma}_{u_i,u_{i+1}}$ and subarcs of ∂R_{u_i}. If H is a component of V_w with $|w| > (l+1)k_0 + 1$ then, as in Section 6.2.2, we construct a polygonal curve s_H joining H with the appropriate R_{u_i} or the appropriate $\sigma_{u_i,u_{i+1}}$ and remove a thickening of s_H and H from $\tilde{T}_{w_1,w_2}$. Denote by Q_{w_1,w_2} the new domain after these removals. The definitions of $\mathcal{R}_{u_i}$ are as in Section 6.2.2 and Section 6.2.3.

As in previous sections, we subdivide Q_{w_1,w_2} into domains $\mathcal{Q}'_w$ as follows. Note that $\partial\mathsf{Q}_{w_1,w_2}$ is a curve in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w_1,w_2)}}$ with $m(w_1,w_2)$ being a positive integer and $2^{m(w_1,w_2)} \simeq \operatorname{diam}\mathsf{Q}_{w_1,w_2}$. Moreover, Q_{w_1,w_2} contains at most $N_2 \simeq 1$ components of ∂V. Therefore, there exists $m_2 \simeq 1$ such that Q_{w_1,w_2} can be subdivided to Jordan domains $\mathcal{Q}'_w$ with polygonal boundaries in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w_1)-m_1}}$ where $lk_0 + 1 < |w| \le (l+1)k_0 + 1$, x'_w is between x'_{u_1} and x'_{u_k} and

(1) $\mathcal{Q}'_w$ have mutually disjoint interiors and their union is all of Q_{w_1,w_2},
(2) each $\partial\mathcal{Q}'_w$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{2^{-m(w)}}$ for some positive integer $m(w_1,w_2)$ with $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{Q}'_w \simeq 2^{-m(w_1,w_2)}$,
(3) $\{\mathcal{Q}'_w\} \cup \{p_w\}$ are combinatorially equivalent to $\{\mathcal{Q}_w\} \cup \{y_{w1}\}$, that is, there exists a homeomorphism $g_{w_1,w_2} : \Delta_0 \to \Delta'_0$ extending f such that $g_{w_1,w_2}(\mathcal{Q}_w) = \mathcal{Q}'_w$ and $g_{w_1,w_2}(y_{w1}) = p_w$.

Note that

$$T_{w_1,w_2} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{w_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T_{u_i,u_{i+1}} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{lk_0+1<|u|\le(l+1)k_0+10 \\ x'_w \text{ between } x'_{u_1},x'_{u_k}}} \mathcal{Q}'_w$$

where $T_{u_i,u_{i+1}}$ is a Jordan domain bounded by a subarc of $\partial A'_0$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_{u_i}$, a subarc of $\partial\mathcal{R}_{u_{i+1}}$ and a simple polygonal arc $\sigma_{u_i,u_{i+1}}$.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Section 4, we may assume for the rest that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an unbounded c-uniform domain and that ∂U

is compact and perfect. and ∂U is c-uniformly perfect and that $f : \partial U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is η-quasisymmetric that cam be extended homeomorphically on U. The proof in the bi-Lipschitz case is similar; see Section 7.2.1.

Since f extends homeomorphically on U, there exists a unique unbounded domain U' whose boundary is $f(\partial U)$ and f extends as homeomorphism from U onto U'. By Lemma 2.10, and the quasinvariance of uniformly perfect sets, U is c'-uniform and $\partial U'$ is c'-relatively connected with c' depending only on c and η. For simplicity, we assume for the rest that $c' = c$.

Fix $x_0 \in \partial U$ and $x_0' \in \partial U'$. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{B}^2(x_0, 2c \operatorname{diam} \partial U)$ and $\mathcal{D}_0' = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{B}^2(x_0', 2c \operatorname{diam} \partial U')$. In Section 7.1 we apply the results of Section 5 and Section 6 to construct two Whitney-type decompositions, one in $U \setminus \mathcal{D}_0$ and another in $U' \setminus \mathcal{D}_0'$ that are combinatorially equivalent. Specifically, we show the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *There exist $K > 1$, $d > 1$, $C > 1$ depending only on c and η and two families of domains $\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{D}' \subset \mathscr{QC}(K, d)$ with the following properties.*

1. *The domains in $\mathscr{D}$ are mutually disjoint and $U \setminus \mathcal{D}_0 = \bigcup_{D \in \mathscr{D}} \overline{D}$. Similarly for $\mathscr{D}'$.*
2. *For all $D \in \mathscr{D}$, $C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} D \le \operatorname{dist}(D, \partial U) \le C \operatorname{diam} D$. Similarly for $\mathscr{D}'$.*
3. *For each $D \in \mathscr{D}$, there are at most C elements in $\mathscr{D}$ whose boundary intersects that of D. Similarly for $\mathscr{D}'$.*
4. *If $\Gamma = \partial D \cap \partial D' \neq \emptyset$ for $D, D' \in \mathscr{D}$ then Γ is an L-bi-Lipschitz arc and $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma \ge C^{-1} \max\{\operatorname{diam} D, \operatorname{diam} D\}$. Similarly for $\mathscr{D}'$.*
5. *There exists homeomorphism $g : \overline{U \setminus \mathcal{D}} \to \overline{U' \setminus \mathcal{D}'}$ such that $f|\partial U = g|\partial U$ and for each $D \in \mathscr{D}$, $g(D) \in \mathscr{D}'$.*

In Section 7.1 we construct the families $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}'$ while in Section 7.2 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

7.1. **Whitney-type decompositions for planar uniform domains.** We describe the steps in the construction of the families $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}'$. Here and for the rest of this section we write $E = \partial U$ and $E' = \partial U'$. To reduce the use of constants and simplify the exposition, we assume that all constants in the lemmas and propositions of Section 5 are equal to c for both U and U'.

Step 1. We apply the construction of Section 5.4 on ∂U inside $U \setminus \mathcal{D}_0$ with $\epsilon = (80c)^{-3} \operatorname{diam} E$ and obtain Jordan domains $D_1, \dots D_n$. Set $\mathcal{D}_\emptyset = (U \setminus \mathcal{D}_0) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{D_i}$ and note that $\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}_\emptyset \ge \operatorname{diam} \partial U$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, N$ let $E_i = D_i \cap \partial U$ and $E_i' = f(A_i)$. Applying Lemma 5.4 repeatedly on each set E_i' we obtain Jordan domains $D_1' = V(E_1', (U' \setminus \mathcal{D}_0'), r_1)$ and $D_i' = V(E_i', (U' \setminus \mathcal{D}_0') \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} D_k', r_i)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ such that

$$r_i = (32c)^{-1} \min\{\operatorname{diam} E_i', \operatorname{dist}(E_i', E' \setminus E_i')\} \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Set $\mathcal{D}_\emptyset' = (U' \setminus \mathcal{D}_0') \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{D_i'}$ and note that $\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}_\emptyset' \ge \operatorname{diam} \partial U'$. Note that, since E is relatively connected, by Remark 2.4, in the definition of r_i and r_w, ϵ_w below, we can replace the minimum with the distance of the two sets.

Step 2. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let

$$\epsilon_i' = (8c)^{-3} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(E_i', \partial D_i'), \operatorname{diam} E_i'\}.$$

Note that although $U \cap D_i'$ may not be c-uniform like U, the condition of c-uniformity for E' holds true in the scale of ϵ_i'. That is, for all $x, y \in E_i'$ with $|x - y| \le \epsilon_i'$, there

exists c-cigar curve γ joining them in $U \cap D_i'$. In fact, ϵ_i' has been chosen in such a way that both Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.8 can be applied as if $U \cap D_i'$ was c-uniform. Therefore, we can apply on each E_i' inside D_i' the construction of Section 5.4 with ϵ_i' and obtain Jordan domains $D_{i1}', \ldots, D_{in_i}'$. Set $\mathcal{D}_i' = D_i' \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} D_{ij}'$.

In each D_i we apply the second part of Step 1. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $E_{ij}' = E' \cap D_{ij}'$ and $E_{ij} = f^{-1}(A_{ij}')$. By Lemma 5.10, $D_i \cap U$ is c'-uniform for some c' depending only on c. Applying Lemma 5.4 repeatedly on each set E_{ij} we obtain Jordan domains $D_{i1} = V(E_{i1}, U \cap D_i, (32c)^{-1})$ and $D_{ij} = V(E_{ij}, (U \cap D_i) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{ik}', (32c)^{-1})$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n_i$. Set $\mathcal{D}_i = (U \cap D_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} \overline{D_{ij}}$ and note that $\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}_i' \geq \epsilon_0' \operatorname{diam} D_i$ with ϵ_0' depending only on c.

In general we work as follows.

Step $2k+1$. From previous steps we have obtained mutually disjoint Jordan domains $D_w \subset U$, mutually disjoint Jordan domains $D_w' \subset U'$, boundary sets $E_w = D_w \cap E$ and boundary sets $E_w' = D_w' \cap E' = f(E_w)$ such that, for some $M > 1$ depending only on c and η,

$$\frac{\operatorname{diam} E_w}{M} \leq \operatorname{dist}(E_w, E \setminus E_w) \leq M \operatorname{dist}(D_w, E \setminus E_w) \tag{7.1}$$

and for all $z \in \partial D_w$,

$$\frac{\operatorname{dist}(z, E_w)}{M} \leq \min\{\operatorname{dist}(E_w, E \setminus E_w), \operatorname{diam} E_w\} \leq M \operatorname{dist}(z, E_w). \tag{7.2}$$

Similarly for E', E_w' and D_w'. As noted above, since E is uniformly perfect we also have that $\operatorname{dist}(E_w, E \setminus E_w) \leq M_0 \operatorname{diam} E_w$ for some $M_0 > 1$ depending only on c.

Fix now a a Jordan domain D_w, $w = i_1 \cdots i_{2k}$. We distinguish two cases.

7.1.1. *Case 1.* For all components A of E_w, there exists $z \in \partial D_w$ such that $\operatorname{diam} A \leq (8c)^3 \operatorname{dist}(A, z)$.

Remark 7.2. *In Case 1, note that* $\operatorname{diam} A \leq c_1 \operatorname{dist}(A, E \setminus E_w)$ *for each component* A *of* E_w *and for some* $c_1 > 1$ *depending only on* c. *By quasisymmetry of* f,

$$\operatorname{diam} f(A) \leq c_1' \operatorname{dist}(f(A), E' \setminus E_w')$$

for some c_1' *depending only on* c *and* η. *By Lemma 5.11,* $\operatorname{diam} E_w' \leq \operatorname{diam} D_w' \leq c_2' \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{diam} E_w', E' \setminus \operatorname{diam} E_w')$. *By quasisymmetry,* $\operatorname{diam} E_w \leq c_2 \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{diam} E_w, E \setminus \operatorname{diam} E_w)$ *with* c_2 *depending only on* c *and* η.

Applying the construction of Section 5.4 on E_w with

$$\epsilon_w = (80c)^{-3} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(E_w, \partial D_w), \operatorname{diam} E_w\}$$

we obtain Jordan domains $D_{wi} \subset D_w$ with $i \leq n_w$. Define $E_w = D_{wi} \cap E$, $E_{wi}' = f(E_{wi})$ and $\mathcal{D}_w = D_w \setminus \bigcup_i D_{wi}$. In each D_w' apply the second part of Step 1 and obtain Jordan domains D_{wi}'. Set $\mathcal{D}_w' = D_w' \setminus \bigcup_i D_{wi}'$.

Step $2k+2$. In each D_{wi}' apply the construction of Section 5.4 on E_{wi}' with

$$\epsilon_{wi}' = (80c)^{-3} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(E_{wi}', \partial D_{wi}'), \operatorname{diam} E_{wi}'\}.$$

Again, ϵ_{wi}' has been chosen in such a way that both Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.8 can be applied as if $U \cap D_{wi}'$ was c-uniform. Thus, we obtain Jordan domains $D_{wij}' \subset D_{wi}'$. Set $E_{wij}' = D_{wij}' \cap E'$, $E_{wij} = f^{-1}(E_{wij}')$ and $\mathcal{D}_{wi}' = D_{wi}' \setminus \bigcup_j D_{wij}'$.

In each D_{wi} we apply the second part of Step 1. Applying Lemma 5.4 repeatedly on each set E_{wij} we obtain Jordan domains D_{wij}. Set $\mathcal{D}_{wi} = D_{wi} \setminus \bigcup_j D_{wij}$.

Combining Lemma 5.10, Lemma 5.11, Remark 7.2 and using the fact that E is uniformly perfect, we obtain the next corollary which completes the induction step.

Corollary 7.3. *There exists $N_2 > 1$, $d > 1$ and $K > 1$ depending only on c and η with the following properties.*

(1) $n_w \leq N_2$.
(2) Each D_{wi} is an K-quasidisk.
(3) For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n_w\}$, $\operatorname{diam} D_{wi} \geq d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} D_w$.
(4) For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n_w\}$, $\operatorname{dist}(\partial D_w, \partial D_{wi}) \geq d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} D_w$.
(5) For all $i, i' \in \{1, \dots, n_w\}$, $\operatorname{dist}(\partial D_{wi}, \partial D_{wi'}) \geq d^{-1} \operatorname{diam} D_w$.
(6) Equations (7.1) and (7.2) hold for E, E_{wi} and D_{wi} with constant d.

By Remark 7.2 and the fact that $n \leq N$, the same is true for domains D'_{wi}. Similarly, the properties (1)-(5) hold true for domains D_{wij} and D'_{wij}.

7.1.2. *Case 2.* There exists a component A_0 of E_w such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(z, A_0) \leq (8c)^{-3} \operatorname{diam} A_0 \text{ for all } z \in \partial D_w.$$

Note that $\min_{z \in \partial D_w} \operatorname{dist}(z, A_0) \geq \delta_0 \operatorname{diam} A_0$ for some $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ depending only on c and η. Set $A'_0 = f(A_0)$ and $E'_w = f(E_w)$. We show that that $\operatorname{dist}(z, A'_0)$ is comparable to $\operatorname{diam} A'_0$ for each $z \in \partial D'_w$.

Lemma 7.4. *There exists $C > 1$ depending only on c and η such that*

$$C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} A'_0 \leq \operatorname{dist}(A'_0, z) \leq C \operatorname{diam} A'_0 \text{ for all } z \in \partial D'_w.$$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{diam} E_w \leq \operatorname{diam} D_w \leq (2(8c)^{-3} + 1) \operatorname{diam} A_0$. By quasisymmetry of f, $\operatorname{diam} E'_w \leq c_1 \operatorname{diam} A'_0$ for some $c_1 > 1$ depending only on c and η [Hei01, Proposition 10.8] and the right inequality follows from equations (7.1) and (7.2) for E', E'_w, D'_w. The left inequality follows from equations (7.1) and (7.2) for E', E'_w, D'_w and the fact that $\operatorname{diam} A'_0 \leq \operatorname{diam} E'_w$. □

By Lemma 7.4, all assumptions of Section 6 are satisfied and by setting $D = D_w$, $D' = D'_w$, $A = E_w$ and $A' = E'_w$ we proceed as follows. First we replace D_w with Δ_1 where l_1 is chosen so that $\operatorname{dist}(\Delta_1, D_{w'}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(D_w, D_{w'})$ for all $w' = j_1 \cdots j_{2k} \neq w$. Define $\{Q_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{W}}$, $\{Q'_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{W}}$, $\{A_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{W}}$ and $\{A'_u\}_{u \in \mathcal{W}}$ as in Section 6.

Set $D_{wu} = Q_u$ and $D'_{wu} = Q'_u$. If $D_{wu} \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ then set $\mathcal{D}_{wu} = D_{wu}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{wu} = D'_{wu}$. Suppose now that $D_{wu} \cap \partial U \neq \emptyset$. If D_{wu} satisfies the assumptions of Case 1, then apply Step 1 and Step 2 and proceed as in Case 1. Otherwise, apply the decomposition of Section 6.

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an unbounded c-uniform domain with bounded c-uniformly perfect boundary.

Set $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_w\}$ and $\mathscr{D}' = \{\mathcal{D}'_w\}$. Given $\mathcal{D}_w, \mathcal{D}_u \in \mathscr{D}$ whose boundaries intersect, let $\Gamma_{w,u} = \mathcal{D}_w \cap \mathcal{D}_u$ and $\Gamma'_{w,u} = \mathcal{D}'_w \cap \mathcal{D}'_u$. By Proposition 7.1, $\Gamma_{w,u}, \Gamma'_{w,u}$ are L-bi-Lipschitz arcs and $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{w,u} \geq C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} \partial \mathcal{D}_w$ and $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma'_{w,u} \geq C^{-1} \operatorname{diam} \partial \mathcal{D}'_w$ for some $C > 1$ depending only on c and η.

Define a homeomorphism $g : \bigcup_{\mathcal{D}_w \in \mathscr{D}} \partial \mathcal{D}_w \to \bigcup_{\mathcal{D}'_w \in \mathscr{D}'} \partial \mathcal{D}'_w$ so that $g|\Gamma_{w,u}$ maps $\Gamma_{w,u}$ onto $\Gamma'_{w,u}$ by arc-length parametrization and can be homeomorphically extended on each $\mathcal{D}_w$. Note that $f_w = g|\mathcal{D}_w$ is a (λ_w, Λ)-quasisimilarity for some Λ depending only on L_0 and d_0, (thus only on c and η) and with $\lambda_w = \frac{\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}'_w}{\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}_w}$. By

Proposition 3.2, each f_w extends to a (λ_w, Λ')-quasisimilarity $F_w : \mathcal{D}_w \to \mathcal{D}'_w$ for some Λ' depending only on c and η.

Define $F : U \to U'$ with $F|\mathcal{D}_w = F_w$. Then, by a theorem of Väisälä on removability of singularities [Väi71, Theorem 35.1], F is K-quasiconformal with K depending only on Λ', thus only on c and η. By Lemma 2.1, F is η' quasisymmetric for some η' depending only on c and η.

7.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.1 in the bi-Lipschitz case.* The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case that f is L-bi-Lipschitz is similar. The sets $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are constructed as in the quasisymmetric case. Since ∂U may not be uniformly perfect, in Proposition 7.1, property (4) holds with min instead of max and the families $\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{D}' \subset \mathscr{BL}(L_0, d)$ for some $L_0 > 1$ and $d > 1$ depending only on L and c. Moreover, in Corollary, 7.3, the domains D_{wi} are L'_0-bi-Lipschitz disks and property (3) may not hold.

Since f is L-bi-Lipschitz, there exists $c_0 > 1$ depending only on c and L such that $c_0^{-1} \operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}'_w \le \operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}_w \le c_0 \operatorname{diam} \mathcal{D}'_w$ for all $\mathcal{D}_w \in \mathscr{D}$. Therefore, applying Proposition 3.2 and defining F as above we note that $F|\mathcal{D}_w$ is L_1-bi-Lipschitz for all $\mathcal{D}_w \in \mathscr{D}$ with L_1 depending only on c and L. Thus, F is L_1-BLD and by Lemma 2.2, F is L'-bi-Lipschitz with L' depending only on c and L.

8. The assumptions of Theorem 1.1

We discuss the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and how necessary they are. In Section 8.1, applying a result of Trotsenko and Väisälä [TV99] we show that relative connectedness is necessary for the QSEP in all dimensions. In Section 8.2 we show that uniformity is somewhat necessary for the QSEP or the BLEP on the plane as neither John property nor quasiconvexity of U in Theorem 1.1 is sufficient.

8.1. **Relative connectedness.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set that is not relatively connected. In the proof of Theorem 6.6 in [TV99], a quasisymmetric map $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is constructed that is not power quasisymmetric. It follows then from Lemma 2.3 that f can not be extended quasisymmetrically on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We present the construction here again to illustrate why the map f can be extended homeomorphically in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Since E is not relatively connected, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists E_i containing at least two points so that $\operatorname{dist}^*(E_i, E \setminus E_i) \ge i$. We assume for the rest that $\operatorname{diam} E_i \le \operatorname{diam} E \setminus E_i$. Set $d_i = \operatorname{dist}^*(E_i, E \setminus E_i)$. We may assume that $4 < d_1 < d_2 < \cdots$. The conditions on E_i imply one of the following three cases.

Case 1. There exists subsequence $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$ with $E_{i_1} \supset E_{i_2} \supset \cdots$. For simplicity write $E_{i_k} = E_k$ and $d_{i_k} = d_k$. Then $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} E_k$ for some $x_0 \in E$. Set $E^0 = E \setminus E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $E^k = E_k \setminus E_{k+1}$. Note that E is a disjoint union of the sets E^k. Define $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f(x_0) = x_0$, $f|E^0(x) = x$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f|E^k(x) = s_k x$ with

$$s_k = \frac{e^{d_1+\cdots+d_k}}{(1+d_1)\cdots(1+d_k)}.$$

Case 2. There exists subsequence $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$ with $E_{i_1} \subset E_{i_2} \subset \cdots$. For simplicity write $E_{i_k} = E_k$ and $d_{i_k} = d_k$. Set $E^0 = E_1$ and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ set $E^k = E_{k+1} \setminus E_k$. Note that E is a disjoint union of the sets E^k. Define $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f|E^0(x) = x$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f|E^k(x) = s_k x$ with

$$s_k = \frac{e^{e^{d_1}+\cdots+e^{d_k}}}{e^{k+d_1+\cdots+d_k}}.$$

Case 3. There exists subsequence $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$ with $E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \ldots$ being mutually disjoint. For simplicity write $E_{i_k} = E_k$, $d_{i_k} = d_k$ and $x_{i_k} = x_k$. Set $E^0 = E \setminus \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} E_k$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $E^k E_k$. Note that E is a disjoint union of the sets E^k. Define $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f|E^0(x) = x$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f|E^k(x) = x_k + s_k(x - x_k)$ with

$$s_k = \frac{e^{d_k}}{(1 + d_k)}.$$

Following the proof of [TV99, Theorem 6.6], in each case f is quasisymmetric but not power quasisymmetric. Thus, it only remains to show that, in each case, f extends to a self homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume the first case. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ set $B_i = B^n(x_i, 2\operatorname{diam} E_i)$ and $B_i' = B^n(x_i, \frac{1}{2}d_i \operatorname{diam} E_i)$ and $A_i = B_i \setminus B_{i+1}'$. On $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ set $F(x) = x$ and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ set $F|\overline{A_i}(x) = s_i x$. By [TV99, (6.8)], $F(\partial B_{i+1}')$ is contained in a ball with boundary $F(\partial B_i)$, $F(B_{i+1})$ is contained in a ball with boundary $F(\partial B_{i+1}')$ and both $\operatorname{dist}(F(\partial B_i), F(\partial B_{i+1}'))$ and $\operatorname{dist}(F(\partial B_{i+1}), F(\partial B_{i+1}'))$ are nonzero for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, F can be extended homeomorphically on each $B_i' \setminus B_i$.

The other two cases are similar; see [TV99, (6.14)] and [TV99, (6.18)].

8.2. **Uniformity.** We construct a compact, countable, relatively connected set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ whose exterior is both John and quasiconvex and E fails both the QSEP and the BLEP.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ divide the interval $[0, 2^{-n(n-1)/2}]$ into 2^n intervals of equal length and let A be the set of endpoints of all the intervals produced. For each $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ let $\phi^{(i)}$ is the composition of $\phi(x, y) = (-y, x)$ with itself i times and set

$$E = A \cup \phi(A) \cup \phi^{(2)}(A) \cup \phi^{(3)}(A).$$

Note that E is a relatively connected, compact and countable. Moreover, since $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus ([-1, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times [-1, 1])$ is a John domain, $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ is also a John domain.nFurthermore, we claim that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ is c-quasiconvex for any $c > 1$. Indeed, let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ and $\gamma = [x, y]$. We may assume that $\gamma \cap E \neq \emptyset$ otherwise there is nothing to prove. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We first treat the case that both of x, y lie on the same axis. Without loss of generality assume that $x = (x_1, 0)$, $y = (y_1, 0)$. There exists a point $z = (0, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ such that $0 < z_2 < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon|x - y|$. Setting $\gamma' = [x, (x_1, z_2)] \cup [(x_1, z_2), (y_1, z_2)] \cup [(y_1, z_2), y]$ we have that $\gamma' \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ and that $\ell(\gamma') \leq (1 + \epsilon)|x - y|$. Suppose now that x, y are not on the same axis. Then the line segment $[x, y] \cap E$ contains at most 2 points z_1, z_2. For each point z_j, let $x_j, y_j \in [x, y]$ such that $[x_j, y_j] \cap E = \{z_j\}$ and $|x_j - z_j| = |y_j - z| \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon|x - y|$. Let $z_j' \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ be on the same axis as z_j and $|z_j' - z_j| \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon|x - y|$. Replacing each $[x_j, y_j]$ with $[x_j, z_j'] \cup [z_j', y_j]$ we obtain a new polygonal curve $\gamma' \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ that joins x and y satisfying $\ell(\gamma') \leq (1 + \epsilon)|x - y|$.

Define now $f : E \to E$ with

$$f|A \cup \phi(A)(x, y) = (y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad f|\phi^{(2)}(A) \cup \phi^{(3)}(A) = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Clearly, f is 2-bi-Lipschitz and as E is totally disconnected, f extends to a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We show that f can not be extended quasisymetrically on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Suppose that there exists an η-quasisymmetric extension $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ extending f. For each point $a \in A$ let $x_a = (-a, 0)$, $y_a = (0, a)$ and $\gamma_a = \{(-at, a(1 - t)) : t \in [0, 1]\}$. Note that, for all $a \in A$, γ_a is 2-bounded turning and 2-cigar in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$;

that is, $\operatorname{diam}\gamma_a \le 2|x_r - y_r|$ and $\operatorname{dist}(z, E) \ge \frac{1}{2}\min\{|x_r - z|, |y_r - z|\}$ for all $z \in \gamma_a$. Since F is η-quasisymmetric, there exists $C > 1$ depending only on η such that $F(\gamma_a)$ is C-bounded turning and C-cigar in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-n} \le (3C)^{-2}$. Let $a' = 2^{-n(n+1)/2} \in A$ and $a = m2^{-n}a' \in A$ with m being the smallest integer bigger than $2C$. The C-bounded turning condition of $F(\gamma_a)$ implies that $F(\gamma_a) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) = F(\gamma_a) \cap (\{0\} \times [-a', a'])$. However, for any point $F(\gamma_a) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R})$ we have $\operatorname{dist}(z, E) \le 2^{-n}a' \le (3C)^{-2}a' \le (2C)^{-1}a \le (2C)^{-1}\min\{|z - F(x_a)|, |z - F(y_a)|\}$ and the John property of $F(\gamma_a)$ is violated.

Remark 8.1. *It turns out that John property and quasiconvexity of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ are also not necessary for bi-Lipschitz or quasisymmetric extensions on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$. For example, let $E = (0, +\infty) \times (-1, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and note that E is not John while $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{E}$ is not quasiconvex. Nevertheless, any η-quasisymmetric (resp. L-bi-Lipschitz) embedding of E or $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$ extends to an η'-quasisymmetric (resp. L'-bi-Lipschitz) homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The proof is left to the reader.*

9. Uniformization of Cantor sets with bounded geometry

In [DS97], David and Semmes characterized the metric spaces that are quasisymmetrically homeomorphic to the standard middle-third Cantor set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}$: *a metric space (X, d) is η-quasisymmetric to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if it is compact, doubling, c-uniformly disconnected and c-uniformly perfect* [DS97, Proposition 15.11].

Later, MacManus [Mac99] improved this characterization in 2 dimensions: *for a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists a quasisymmetrc mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $F(E) = \mathcal{C}$ if and only if E is uniformly perfect and uniformly disconnected.* The same however is not true in dimensions $n \ge 3$ as there exist uniformly perfect and uniformly disconnected wild Cantor sets such as the classical Antoine's necklace [Dav07, pp. 70–75]. By increasing the dimension by 1, MacManus' result generalizes in dimensions $n \ge 3$.

Theorem 9.1. *For a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a quasisymmetric map $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $F(E) = \mathcal{C}$ if and only if E is uniformly perfect and uniformly disconnected.*

One direction of Theorem 9.1 is clear. Namely, if there exists quasisymmetric homeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ mapping $\mathcal{C}$ onto E then E is c-uniformly perfect and c-uniformly disconnected with c depending only on η. For the converse, we use the fact that there exists a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $f : \mathcal{C} \to E$. Our goal is to extend this mapping quasisymmetrically to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Consider the set of finite words $\mathcal{W}$ formed from the letters $\{1, 2\}$ and denote by $\emptyset$ the empty word. The length of a word $|w|$ is the number of letters that the word contains with $|\emptyset| = 0$. Define $\mathcal{W}^N$ to be the set of words in $\mathcal{W}$ whose length is exactly N. Let $I_\emptyset = [0, 1]$ and given $I_w = [a, b]$ let $I_{w1} = [a, a + \frac{1}{3}(b - a)]$, $I_{w2} = [b - \frac{1}{3}(b - a), b]$. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let $\mathcal{C}_w = I_w \cap \mathcal{C}$ and $E_w = f(\mathcal{C}_w)$.

Lemma 9.2. *There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on η with the following property. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist sets $\mathscr{E}_1, \dots, \mathscr{E}_k$ whose elements are sets E_w with $w \in \mathcal{W}^m$ such that*

(1) $\mathscr{E}_i \cap \mathscr{E}_j = \emptyset$ when $i \ne j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathscr{E}_i = \{E_w : w \in \mathcal{W}^m\}$;

(2) for any $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ and any $E_w, E_{w'} \in \mathscr{E}_i$ with $w \neq w'$ we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(E_w, E_{w'}) \geq 5 \max\{\operatorname{diam} E_w, \operatorname{diam} E_{w'}\}.$$

Proof. By quasisymmetry of f, property (2) is satisfied if $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{C}_w, \mathcal{C}_{w'}) \geq d3^{-m}$ where $d = (\eta^{-1}(1/5))^{-1}$. Note that for each $\mathcal{C}_w$ with $w \in \mathcal{W}^m$ there exists at most k sets $\mathcal{C}_{w_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{w_l}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{C}_w, \mathcal{C}_{w_i}) < d3^{-m}$. Let $\mathcal{C}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}'_{2^m}$ be an enumeration of $\{\mathcal{C}_w \colon w \in \mathcal{W}^m\}$ such that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 2^m$, $\mathcal{C}'_i$ lies at the left of $\mathcal{C}'_j$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$ define A_i to be the integers in $\{1, \dots, 2^m\}$ that are of the form $i + rk$ with $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and set $\mathscr{E}_i = \{f(C'_j) \colon j \in A_i\}$. □

We are now ready to show Theorem 9.1.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Assume as we may that $\operatorname{diam} E = 1$. The first step of the proof is the construction of a bi-Lipschitz mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that unlinks E. The second step is the construction of a quasiconformal mapping $G : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that maps the unlinked image $\Phi(E)$ onto $\mathcal{C}$. The composition $F = G \circ \Phi$ is the mapping in question.

Let k be is as in Lemma 9.2 and let N be the smallest positive integer such that $3^{-N} \leq \min\{\eta^{-1}(1/16), \eta^{-1}((5k)^{-1})\}$. Then, for any $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}$ with $E_w \cap E_{w'} = \emptyset$ and any $u \in \mathcal{W}^N$ we have

$$\delta' \operatorname{diam} E_w \leq \operatorname{diam} E_{wu} \leq \delta \operatorname{diam} E_w \tag{9.1}$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(E_w, E_w) \geq (\eta(1))^{-1} \max\{\operatorname{diam} E_w, \operatorname{diam} E_{w'}\} \tag{9.2}$$

with $\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{16}, (5k)^{-1}\}$ and $\delta' = (2\eta(3^N))^{-1}$.

Let $\mathscr{E}^0_1, \dots, \mathscr{E}^0_k$ be the sets of Lemma 9.2 corresponding to $m = N$. Define $\phi_1 : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi_1|E_w(x) = 5(i-1)\delta \quad \text{for all } x \in E_w$$

where $w \in \mathcal{W}^N$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $E_w \in \mathscr{E}^0_i$. Inductively, suppose that we have defined $\phi_j : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi_j|E_w$ is constant whenever $w \in \mathcal{W}^{jN}$. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{jN}$ let $\mathscr{E}^w_1, \dots, \mathscr{E}^w_k$ be the sets of 9.2 corresponding to $E = E_w$ and $m = N$. Define $\phi_{j+1} : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi_{j+1}|E_{wu}(x) = \phi_j|E_w(x) + 5(i-1)\delta \operatorname{diam} E_w \quad \text{for all } x \in E_{wu}$$

where $w \in \mathcal{W}^{jN}$, $u \in \mathcal{W}^N$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $E_{wu} \in \mathscr{E}^w_i$. Note that for all $x \in E$, $|\phi_i(x) - \phi_j(x)| \leq \delta^{\max\{i,j\}}$. Therefore, the mappings ϕ_j converge uniformly to a mapping $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$.

We claim that ϕ is Lipschitz. Indeed, let $x, y \in E$ and let $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the greatest integer m such that $x, y \in E_w$ with $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$. In particular, suppose that $x, y \in E_{w_0}$ with $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}^{m_0 N}$. Then, by (9.1) and (9.2)

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq \operatorname{diam} E_{w_0} \leq \eta(1)(\delta')^{-1}|x - y|.$$

and the claim follows.

Fix $x_0 \in E$, $B_0 = B^n(x_0, 5 \operatorname{diam} E)$ and set $\phi|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0 \equiv 0$. Then $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0) \cup E \to \mathbb{R}$ is L-Lipschitz for some L depending only on η and by Kirszbraun Theorem there exists an L-Lipschitz extension of ϕ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which we also denote by ϕ. Then the mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\Phi(x, z) = (x, \phi(x) + z)$ is L'-bi-Lipschitz with L' depending only on L.

For each $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ let $x_w \in E_w$ and

$$\mathsf{K}_w = x_w + [-2 \operatorname{diam} E_w, 2 \operatorname{diam} E_w]^n.$$

Note that if $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}^N$ then $\mathsf{K}_{wu} \subset \mathsf{K}_w$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\mathsf{K}_{wu}, \partial \mathsf{K}_w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} E_w$. Note however that if $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ with $w \neq w'$ then K_w may intersect $\mathsf{K}_{w'}$ which is why we lift different sets to different heights. For each $m = 0, 1, \ldots$ and each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ define

$$\mathcal{K}_w = \mathsf{K}_w \times [\phi_m(x_w) - 2 \operatorname{diam} E_w, \phi_m(x_w) + 2 \operatorname{diam} E_w].$$

From the definition of the functions ϕ_w it follows that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, all distinct $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ and all $u \in \mathcal{W}^N$

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{K}_w, \mathcal{K}_{w'}) \geq \max\{\operatorname{diam} E_w, \operatorname{diam} E_{w'}\}; \tag{9.3}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{wu} \subset \mathcal{K}_w \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{K}_{wu}, \partial \mathcal{K}_w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} E_w; \tag{9.4}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_w \cap \Phi(E) = \Phi(E_w) \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(\Phi(E_w), \partial \mathcal{K}_w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} E_w. \tag{9.5}$$

For each $m = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ let z_w be the centre of I_w and define

$$\mathcal{Q}_w = [z_w - \frac{5}{6} 3^{-mN}, z_w + \frac{5}{6} 3^{-mN}] \times [-\frac{5}{6} 3^{-mN}, \frac{5}{6} 3^{-mN}]^n.$$

For each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ let $g_w : \partial \mathcal{K}_w \to \partial \mathcal{Q}_w$ be a sense-preserving similarity mapping. By Proposition 3.6, there exists $G : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that extends all mappings g_w so that G is the identity in a neighborhood of infinity, and for all $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$,

$$G|\mathcal{K}_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{K}_{wu}) : \mathcal{K}_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{K}_{wu}) \to \mathcal{Q}_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{Q}_{wu})$$

is a $(\frac{\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{Q}_w}{\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{K}_w}, \Lambda)$-quasisimilarity with Λ depending only on η. Therefore, by a theorem of Väisälä on removability of singularities [Väi71, Theorem 35.1], G is K-quasiconformal with K depending only on η and n. Set $F = G \circ \Phi$ and note that F extends f and $F(E_w) = \mathcal{C}_w$. □

9.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and c-uniformly disconnected. By Section 4 the proof is reduced to the case that that E is compact and perfect. Hence, by Brouwer's Theorem we may assume that E is a Cantor set.

Assume first that E is c-uniformly perfect and $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is η-quasisymmetric. By Theorem 9.1 we may assume that $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and by Theorem 1.1 and the tameness of planar totally disconnected sets [Moi77, Section 10] f extends to an η_1-quasisymmetric homeomorphism $F_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with η_1 depending only on η. By the Tukia-Väisälä extension theorem [TV82], F_1 extends to an η'-quasisymmetric $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with η' depending only on η and n.

Assume now that $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is L-bi-Lipschitz. Denote $E' = f(E)$ and $E'_w = f(E_w)$. In the proof of Theorem 9.1, by choosing N sufficiently large, we may assume that the right inequality of (9.1) and the inequality (9.2) are satisfied for both E and E'. Then, following the construction of Φ we can construct cubes $\mathcal{K}'_w$ corresponding to the sets E'_w with $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an L_2-bi-Lipschitz mapping $\Phi' : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that satisfy properties (9.3), (9.4) and (9.5).

For each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$ let $g_w : \partial \mathcal{K}_w \to \partial \mathcal{K}'_w$ be a similarity mapping. By Proposition 3.6, there exists $G : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ that extends all mappings g_w so that G is the identity in a neighborhood of infinity, and for all $w \in \mathcal{W}^{mN}$,

$$G|\mathcal{K}_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{K}_{wu}) : \mathcal{K}_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{K}_{wu}) \to \mathcal{K}'_w \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{W}^N} \mathcal{K}'_{wu})$$

is a (λ, Λ)-quasisimilarity with λ and Λ depending only on c and η. Therefore G is BLD and by Lemma 2.2, G is L_3-bi-Lipschitz for some L_3 depending only on L. Thus, $F = (\Phi')^{-1} \circ G \circ \Phi$ is L'-bi-Lipschitz for some L' depending only on L and c and extends f.

References

[ABT15] Jonas Azzam, Matthew Badger, and Tatiana Toro. Quasiconformal planes with bi-Lipschitz pieces and extensions of almost affine maps. *Adv. Math.*, 275:195–259, 2015.

[Ahl63] Lars V. Ahlfors. Quasiconformal reflections. *Acta Math.*, 109:291–301, 1963.

[Ahl64] Lars V. Ahlfors. Extension of quasiconformal mappings from two to three dimensions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 51:768–771, 1964.

[AV97] Pekka Alestalo and Jussi Väisälä. Uniform domains of higher order. III. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 22(2):445–464, 1997.

[BA56] A. Beurling and L. Ahlfors. The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings. *Acta Math.*, 96:125–142, 1956.

[Bro72] Morton Brown. Sets of constant distance from a planar set. *Michigan Math. J.*, 19:321–323, 1972.

[Car74] Lennart Carleson. The extension problem for quasiconformal mappings. In *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pages 39–47. Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[Dav07] Robert J. Daverman. *Decompositions of manifolds*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2007. Reprint of the 1986 original.

[DS91] G. David and S. Semmes. Singular integrals and rectifiable sets in $\mathbf{R}^n$: Beyond Lipschitz graphs. *Astérisque*, (193):152, 1991.

[DS97] Guy David and Stephen Semmes. *Fractured fractals and broken dreams*, volume 7 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997. Self-similar geometry through metric and measure.

[Fuj16] Hiroki Fujino. Quasisymmetric embedding of the integer set and its quasiconformal extension. *arxiv preprint*, 2016.

[Geh82] F. W. Gehring. Injectivity of local quasi-isometries. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 57(2):202–220, 1982.

[GO79] F. W. Gehring and B. G. Osgood. Uniform domains and the quasihyperbolic metric. *J. Analyse Math.*, 36:50–74 (1980), 1979.

[Hei01] Juha Heinonen. *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[Her87] David A. Herron. The geometry of uniform, quasicircle, and circle domains. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 12(2):217–227, 1987.

[JK82] David S. Jerison and Carlos E. Kenig. Hardy spaces, A_∞, and singular integrals on chord-arc domains. *Math. Scand.*, 50(2):221–247, 1982.

[KO11] Leonid V. Kovalev and Jani Onninen. An N-dimensional version of the Beurling-Ahlfors extension. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 36(1):321–329, 2011.

[Mac95] Paul MacManus. Bi-Lipschitz extensions in the plane. *J. Anal. Math.*, 66:85–115, 1995.

[Mac99] Paul MacManus. Catching sets with quasicircles. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 15(2):267–277, 1999.

[Moi77] Edwin E. Moise. *Geometric topology in dimensions* 2 *and* 3. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 47.

[MS79] O. Martio and J. Sarvas. Injectivity theorems in plane and space. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 4(2):383–401, 1979.

[NV91] Raimo Näkki and Jussi Väisälä. John disks. *Exposition. Math.*, 9(1):3–43, 1991.

[Sem96] Stephen Semmes. Quasisymmetry, measure and a question of Heinonen. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 12(3):727–781, 1996.

[Tuk80] Pekka Tukia. The planar Schönflies theorem for Lipschitz maps. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 5(1):49–72, 1980.

[Tuk81] Pekka Tukia. Extension of quasisymmetric and Lipschitz embeddings of the real line into the plane. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 6(1):89–94, 1981.

[TV81] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä. Lipschitz and quasiconformal approximation and extension. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 6(2):303–342 (1982), 1981.

[TV82] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä. Quasiconformal extension from dimension n to $n+1$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(2):331–348, 1982.

[TV84] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä. Extension of embeddings close to isometries or similarities. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 9:153–175, 1984.

[TV99] D. A. Trotsenko and J. Väisälä. Upper sets and quasisymmetric maps. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 24(2):465–488, 1999.

[Tys98] Jeremy Tyson. Quasiconformality and quasisymmetry in metric measure spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 23(2):525–548, 1998.

[Väi71] Jussi Väisälä. *Lectures on n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 229. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[Väi77] Jussi Väisälä. Piecewise linear approximation of lipeomorphisms. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 3(2):377–383, 1977.

[Väi86] Jussi Väisälä. Bi-Lipschitz and quasisymmetric extension properties. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 11(2):239–274, 1986.

[Väi88a] Jussi Väisälä. Invariants for quasisymmetric, quasi-Möbius and bi-Lipschitz maps. *J. Analyse Math.*, 50:201–223, 1988.

[Väi88b] Jussi Väisälä. Uniform domains. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 40(1):101–118, 1988.

[Väi85] Jussi Väisälä. Quasi-Möbius maps. *J. Analyse Math.*, 44:218–234, 1984/85.

[Vel16] Vyron Vellis. Quasisymmetric extension on the real line. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear, 2016.

[VW16] Vyron Vellis and Jang-Mei Wu. Quasisymmetric spheres over Jordan domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(8):5727–5751, 2016.

Department of Mathematics, 341 Mansfield Road U1009, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-1009

E-mail address: vyron.vellis@uconn.edu